McInnis Canyons NCA
Visitor Survey

Introduction

In order for the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) to comply with the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA), and better meet the needs of the public; a visitor satisfaction survey was conducted at 17 BLM recreation sites in 11 states during fiscal year 2006 (FY06). The survey was developed to measure each site's performance related to BLM GPRA Goal 3.1 - Provide for a quality recreation experience, including access, and enjoyment of natural and cultural resources on DOI managed and partnered lands and waters; and Goal 3.2 - Provide for and receive fair value in recreation. The information collected during the survey will also help the BLM better serve the public. The survey collected visitor satisfaction data regarding visitor information (i.e., use of maps, signs, brochures), developed facilities, managing recreation use, resource management, BLM staff and customer service, and educational and interpretive materials.

The results of the visitor satisfaction survey conducted at McInnis Canyons NCA are summarized in this data report. A description of the research methods and limitations can be found on the next page. Below (left) is a graph summarizing visitor opinions of the "overall quality of recreation experience." The satisfaction measure next to this graph is a combined percentage of "good" and "very good" responses. This is the primary performance measure for GPRA Goal 3.1 and should be used for reporting performance for this goal (NOTE: the satisfaction measure may not equal the sum of "very good" and "good" percentages due to rounding).

The response rate for this site survey was 64%. The graph and satisfaction measure summarizing visitor opinions of the “value for fee paid”, which is the primary performance measure for GPRA Goal 3.2, can be found on page 9.

Overall quality of recreation experience

FY06: 100 respondents

Rating

Very good: 60%
Good: 38%
Average: 2%
Poor: 0%
Very poor: 0%

FY06
Satisfaction measure: 98%
Average evaluation score: 4.6

FY06 GPRA Satisfaction Measure
Percentage of site visitors satisfied overall with appropriate facilities, services, and recreational opportunities:
98%
Understanding the Results

Inside this report are graphs that illustrate the survey results. The report contains 8 categories of data regarding BLM amenities, staff, and services plus selected demographics. Within these categories are graphs for each indicator evaluated by site visitors. For example, the Visitor Information category includes indicators such as “providing useful maps and brochures,” “adequate signs on site for direction,” and so forth. In each category there is a graph entitled “Everything Considered” this graph is the basis for determining visitor satisfaction for each category and GPRA reporting numbers.

Each graph includes the following information:

- The number of visitor responses for the indicator;
- The percentage of responses which were "very good," "good," "average," "poor," and "very poor;"
- A "satisfaction measure" that combines the percentage of total responses which were "very good" or "good;", and
- An average evaluation score (mean score) based on the following values: very poor= 1, poor= 2, average= 3, good= 4, very good= 5.

For more information about this survey, contact Jennifer Hoger Russell, BLM Survey Project Coordinator at the University of Idaho Park Studies Unit (208) 885-4806

Research Methods

Surveys were distributed to a random sample of visitors at this site during a selected period in FY06. The survey response rate is described on the first page of this report, meaning that 64% of those randomly sampled responded to the survey. The data reflect visitor opinions about this site’s facilities, management, services, educational opportunities, and fees during the survey period. Visitor activities and selected demographics were also captured. A representative sample of the general visitor population were surveyed at selected locations. The results do not necessarily apply to visitors during other times of the year, or visitors who did not visit the survey locations on site.

Returned surveys were electronically scanned and the data analyzed. Frequency distributions were calculated for each indicator and category. All percentage calculations were rounded to the nearest percent.

The survey response rate is described on the first page of this report. The sample size (n) varies from figure to figure, depending on the number of responses.

Caution is advised when interpreting any data with a sample size of less than 30. In such cases, the word “CAUTION!” is included in the graph. This report excludes any indicator with less than 10 responses.

For most indicators, the survey data are expected to be accurate with in ± 6% with 95% confidence. This means that if different samples had been drawn, the results would have been similar (±6%) 95 out of 100 times.
Providing useful maps and brochures
FY06: 104 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY06 Satisfaction measure: 86%
Average evaluation score: 4.3

Providing adequate signs on site for direction and orientation
FY06: 111 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY06 Satisfaction measure: 84%
Average evaluation score: 4.2

Ensuring public awareness of rules and regulations
FY06: 107 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY06 Satisfaction measure: 81%
Average evaluation score: 4.1

Everything considered: quality of BLM visitor information
FY06: 107 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY06: Satisfaction measure: 87%
Average evaluation score: 4.4
Everything considered: overall condition of developed facilities

FY06: 111 respondents

Satisfaction measure: 98%
Average evaluation score: 4.5
McInnis Canyons NCA
Managing Visitor and Recreation Use

Managing the appropriate use of vehicles
FY06: 92 respondents

Rating
Very good: 40%
Good: 46%
Average: 8%
Poor: 2%
Very poor: 0%

Keeping noise at appropriate levels
FY06: 90 respondents

Rating
Very good: 51%
Good: 40%
Average: 8%
Poor: 1%
Very poor: 0%

Providing sufficient law enforcement presence to prevent crime
FY06: 62 respondents

Rating
Very good: 26%
Good: 50%
Average: 18%
Poor: 5%
Very poor: 2%

Everything considered: visitor and recreation management
FY06: 108 respondents

Rating
Very good: 34%
Good: 47%
Average: 9%
Poor: 0%
Very poor: 0%

FY06:
Satisfaction measure: 91%
Average evaluation score: 4.3
Adequately protecting the natural resources
FY06: 111 respondents
- Very good: 42%
- Good: 48%
- Average: 8%
- Poor: 1%
- Very poor: 1%
FY06
Satisfaction measure: 90%
Average evaluation score: 4.3

Ensuring that visitor activities do not infringe on resource protection
FY06: 97 respondents
- Very good: 34%
- Good: 55%
- Average: 9%
- Poor: 2%
- Very poor: 0%
FY06:
Satisfaction measure: 89%
Average evaluation score: 4.2

Adequately protecting the cultural resources
FY06: 74 respondents
- Very good: 41%
- Good: 49%
- Average: 9%
- Poor: 0%
- Very poor: 1%
FY06
Satisfaction measure: 89%
Average evaluation score: 4.3

Everything considered: BLM protection of natural and cultural resources
FY06: 107 respondents
- Very good: 38%
- Good: 54%
- Average: 6%
- Poor: 1%
- Very poor: 1%
FY06:
Satisfaction measure: 93%
Average evaluation score: 4.3
McInnis Canyons NCA
BLM Staff and Service

Staff treated me courteously
FY06: 71 respondents

Rating

Proportion of respondents

Very good 79%
Good 21%
Average 0%
Poor 0%
Very poor 0%

FY06
Satisfaction measure: 100%
Average evaluation score: 4.8

Staff demonstrated knowledge about the natural and cultural resources in the area
FY06: 48 respondents

Rating

Proportion of respondents

Very good 69%
Good 29%
Average 2%
Poor 0%
Very poor 0%

FY06
Satisfaction measure: 98%
Average evaluation score: 4.7

Staff demonstrated knowledge about recreational opportunities in the area
FY06: 52 respondents

Rating

Proportion of respondents

Very good 69%
Good 31%
Average 0%
Poor 0%
Very poor 0%

FY06
Satisfaction measure: 100%
Average evaluation score: 4.7

Everything considered: performance of BLM staff
FY06: 70 respondents

Rating

Proportion of respondents

Very good 67%
Good 31%
Average 1%
Poor 0%
Very poor 0%

FY06
Satisfaction measure: 99%
Average evaluation score: 4.7

Report # MCIN706
Providing *quality* educational and interpretive material about the resources at this site

**FY06:** 78 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY06: Satisfaction measure: 77%
Average evaluation score: 3.9

Providing a sufficient *quantity* of educational and interpretive materials about the resources at this site

**FY06:** 76 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY06: Satisfaction measure: 72%
Average evaluation score: 3.9

Should the BLM provide more educational and interpretive material about this area’s resources?

**FY06:** 101 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY06: Satisfaction measure: 79%
Average evaluation score: 4.1

Providing stewardship information on how to protect the cultural and natural resources

**FY06:** 86 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY06: Satisfaction measure: 80%
Average evaluation score: 4.1

Providing information about resource preservation and management in this area

**FY06:** 64 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY06: Satisfaction measure: 69%
Average evaluation score: 3.9

Everything considered: interpretive and educational program

**FY06:** 81 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY06: Satisfaction measure: 79%
Average evaluation score: 4
McInnis Canyons NCA Fees

Total fees paid
FY06: 114 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount spent</th>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No fees</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under $25</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25 - $50</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; $50</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How appropriate was the fee charged for this site/area?
FY06: 4 respondents

Rating: EXCLUDED

The value of the recreation opportunity was at least equal to the fee asked to pay.
FY06: 3 respondents

Rating: EXCLUDED
McInnis Canyons NCA
Primary Activities at this Site/Area

**Primary activities**

FY06: 110 respondents**

- **Camping**: 13%
- **Fishing**: 4%
- **Hunting**: 1%
- **Sightseeing**: 11%
- **Picnicking**: 5%
- **Hiking/walking**: 11%
- **Swimming**: 2%
- **Motorized boating**: 1%
- **Non-motorized boating/rafting**: 3%
- **Horseback riding**: 1%
- **Bicycling**: 96%
- **Motorized recreation vehicles**: 2%
- **Education and interpretation**: 1%
- **Birdwatching/wildlife viewing**: 7%
- **Other**: 5%

**Activities**

**Proportion of respondents**

**Percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could choose more than one activity.**

---

**National Landscape Conservation System**

**Site Specific Goal**

"This area was designated as a National Conservation Area to conserve and protect these lands for your enjoyment and that of future generations. Based on your experience in this area, do you think the BLM is achieving this goal?"

**Is the BLM achieving the site specific goal?**

FY06: 105 respondents

- **Yes**: 93%
- **No**: 6%
- **Not sure**: 1%
McInnis Canyons NCA
Demographics

Visitor group composition
FY06: 88 groups
- Adults (18 and over): 94%
- Teenagers (13-17): 4%
- Children (under 12): 3%

Number of adults (18 and over) in group
FY06: 88 groups
- 6 and more: 9%
- 3-5: 30%
- 1-2: 61%

Number of teenagers (13-17) in group
FY06: 88 groups
- 6 and more: 0%
- 3-5: 1%
- 1-2: 97%

Number of children (under 12) in group
FY06: 88 groups
- 6 and more: 0%
- 3-5: 1%
- 1-2: 95%

Respondent age
FY06: 109 respondents
- 71 and over: 5%
- 61-70: 19%
- 51-60: 44%
- 41-50: 22%
- 31-40: 7%
- 22-30: 3%
- 18-21: 0%

Gender
FY06: 106 respondents
- Male: 71%
- Female: 29%