Cove Recreation Site
Visitor Survey

Introduction

In order for the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) to comply with the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA), and better meet the needs of the public; a visitor satisfaction survey was conducted at 23 BLM recreation sites in 11 states during fiscal year 2008 (FY08). The survey was developed to measure each site's performance related to BLM GPRA Goal 3.1 - *Provide for a quality recreation experience, including access, and enjoyment of natural and cultural resources on DOI managed and partnered lands and waters;* and Goal 3.2 - *Provide for and receive fair value in recreation.* The information collected during the survey will also help the BLM better serve the public. The survey collected visitor satisfaction data regarding visitor information (i.e., use of maps, signs, brochures), developed facilities, managing recreation use, resource management, BLM staff and customer service, and educational and interpretive materials.

The results of the visitor satisfaction survey conducted at Cove Recreation Site are summarized in this data report. A description of the research methods and limitations can be found on the next page. Below (left) is a graph summarizing visitor opinions of the "overall quality of recreation experience." The satisfaction measure next to this graph is a combined percentage of "good" and "very good" responses. This is the primary performance measure for GPRA Goal 3.1 and should be used for reporting performance for this goal (NOTE: the satisfaction measure may not equal the sum of "very good" and "good" percentages due to rounding).

The response rate for this site survey was 67%. The graph and satisfaction measure summarizing visitor opinions of the "value for fee paid", which is the primary performance measure for GPRA Goal 3.2, can be found on page 9.

**Overall quality of recreation experience**

FY08: 94 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FY08 GPRA Satisfaction Measure**

Percentage of site visitors satisfied overall with appropriate facilities, services, and recreational opportunities:

94%
Cove Recreation Site
Research Methods

Understanding the Results

Inside this report are graphs that illustrate the survey results. The report contains 8 categories of data regarding BLM amenities, staff, and services plus selected demographics. Within these categories are graphs for each indicator evaluated by site visitors. For example, the Visitor Information category includes indicators such as "providing useful maps and brochures," "adequate signs on site for direction," and so forth. In each category there is a graph entitled "Everything Considered" this graph is the basis for determining visitor satisfaction for each category and GPRA reporting numbers.

Each graph includes the following information:

- The number of visitor responses for the indicator;
- The percentage of responses which were "very good," "good," "average," "poor," and "very poor;"
- A "satisfaction measure" that combines the percentage of total responses which were "very good" or "good;", and
- An average evaluation score (mean score) based on the following values: very poor= 1, poor= 2, average= 3, good= 4, very good= 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Good</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

- The higher the average evaluation score, the more positive the visitor response
- Graph percentages may not equal 100% due to rounding

Research Methods

Surveys were distributed to a random sample of visitors at this site during a selected period in FY08, The survey response rate is described on the first page of this report, meaning that 67% of those randomly sampled responded to the survey. The data reflect visitor opinions about this site's facilities, management, services, educational opportunities, and fees during the survey period. Visitor activities and selected demographics were also captured. A representative sample of the general visitor population were surveyed at selected locations. The results do not necessarily apply to visitors during other times of the year, or visitors who did not visit the survey locations on site.

Returned surveys were electronically scanned and the data analyzed. Frequency distributions were calculated for each indicator and category.

All percentage calculations were rounded to the nearest percent.

The survey response rate is described on the first page of this report. The sample size (n) varies from figure to figure, depending on the number of responses.

Caution is advised when interpreting any data with a sample size of less than 30. In such cases, the word “CAUTION!” is included in the graph. This report excludes any indicator with less than 10 responses.

For most indicators, the survey data are expected to be accurate with in ±6% with 95% confidence. This means that if different samples had been drawn, the results would have been similar (±6%) 95 out of 100 times.

For more information about this survey, contact Jennifer Hoger Russell, BLM Survey Project Coordinator at the University of Idaho Park Studies Unit (208) 885-4806
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Providing useful maps and brochures
FY08: 65 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY08: Satisfaction measure: 85%
Average evaluation score: 4.1

Ensuring public awareness of rules and regulations
FY08: 93 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY08: Satisfaction measure: 92%
Average evaluation score: 4.4

Providing useful information on the internet
FY08: 43 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY08: Satisfaction measure: 79%
Average evaluation score: 4

Providing adequate signs on site for direction and orientation
FY08: 97 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY08: Satisfaction measure: 91%
Average evaluation score: 4.4

Everything considered: quality of BLM visitor information
FY08: 97 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY08: Satisfaction measure: 87%
Average evaluation score: 4.2
The results of the visitor satisfaction survey conducted at Cove Recreation Site are summarized in this data report. The survey was developed to measure visitor satisfaction with access, enjoyment of natural and cultural resources on DOI managed and partnered lands and 23 BLM recreation sites in 11 states during fiscal year 2008 (FY08). The survey was designed to measure satisfaction with the visitor experience. A rating system was used, with values ranging from 1 to 5:

- 1 = Very poor
- 2 = Poor
- 3 = Average
- 4 = Good
- 5 = Very good

The overall satisfaction measure for GPRA Goal 3.1 and should be used for reporting performance for this goal. For this measure, this graph is a combined percentage of “good” and “very good” responses. This is the average evaluation score of respondents for each item.

### Maintaining roads for motorized vehicles
- FY08: 100 respondents
- Satisfaction measure: 91%
- Average evaluation score: 4.5
- Very good: 56%
- Good: 35%
- Average: 8%
- Poor: 1%
- Very poor: 0%

### Maintaining trails for non-motorized use
- FY08: 77 respondents
- Satisfaction measure: 86%
- Average evaluation score: 4.3
- Very good: 52%
- Good: 34%
- Average: 10%
- Poor: 1%
- Very poor: 3%

### Maintaining a clean site
- FY08: 98 respondents
- Satisfaction measure: 97%
- Average evaluation score: 4.7
- Very good: 72%
- Good: 24%
- Average: 2%
- Poor: 1%
- Very poor: 0%

### Maintaining cleanliness of restrooms and other physical facilities
- FY08: 92 respondents
- Satisfaction measure: 98%
- Average evaluation score: 4.8
- Very good: 80%
- Good: 17%
- Average: 2%
- Poor: 0%
- Very poor: 0%

### Everything considered: overall condition of developed facilities
- FY08: 99 respondents
- Satisfaction measure: 97%
- Average evaluation score: 4.6
- Very good: 59%
- Good: 38%
- Average: 3%
- Poor: 0%
- Very poor: 0%
Managing the appropriate use of vehicles

FY08: 93 respondents

- Very good: 46%
- Good: 46%
- Average: 6%
- Poor: 0%
- Very poor: 1%

FY08: Satisfaction measure: 92%
Average evaluation score: 4.4

Managing the number of people

FY08: 90 respondents

- Very good: 51%
- Good: 43%
- Average: 4%
- Poor: 1%
- Very poor: 0%

FY08: Satisfaction measure: 94%
Average evaluation score: 4.4

Keeping noise at appropriate levels

FY08: 96 respondents

- Very good: 49%
- Good: 42%
- Average: 6%
- Poor: 1%
- Very poor: 2%

FY08: Satisfaction measure: 91%
Average evaluation score: 4.3

Providing sufficient law enforcement presence to prevent crime

FY08: 71 respondents

- Very good: 62%
- Good: 37%
- Average: 8%
- Poor: 1%
- Very poor: 1%

FY08: Satisfaction measure: 89%
Average evaluation score: 4.4

Everything considered: visitor and recreation management

FY08: 96 respondents

- Very good: 48%
- Good: 51%
- Average: 2%
- Poor: 1%
- Very poor: 0%

FY08: Satisfaction measure: 97%
Average evaluation score: 4.4
Adequately protecting the natural resources

FY08: 94 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Very good</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Very poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY08: Satisfaction measure: 95%
Average evaluation score: 4.4

Ensuring that visitor activities do not infringe on resource protection

FY08: 83 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Very good</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Very poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY08: Satisfaction measure: 94%
Average evaluation score: 4.3

Adequately protecting the cultural resources

FY08: 71 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Very good</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Very poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY08: Satisfaction measure: 93%
Average evaluation score: 4.4

Everything considered: BLM protection of natural and cultural resources

FY08: 93 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Very good</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Very poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY08: Satisfaction measure: 92%
Average evaluation score: 4.3
Staff treated me courteously

FY08: 84 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY08: Satisfaction measure: 95%
Average evaluation score: 4.6

Staff demonstrated knowledge about the natural and cultural resources in the area

FY08: 51 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY08: Satisfaction measure: 94%
Average evaluation score: 4.6

Staff demonstrated knowledge about recreational opportunities in the area

FY08: 69 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY08: Satisfaction measure: 97%
Average evaluation score: 4.8

Everything considered: performance of BLM staff

FY08: 82 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY08: Satisfaction measure: 95%
Average evaluation score: 4.6
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Providing quality educational and interpretive material about the resources at this site
FY08: 45 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY08 Satisfaction measure: 62%
Average evaluation score: 3.6

Providing stewardship information on how to protect the cultural and natural resources
FY08: 45 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY08 Satisfaction measure: 78%
Average evaluation score: 3.9

Providing a sufficient quantity of educational and interpretive materials about the resources at this site
FY08: 39 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY08 Satisfaction measure: 69%
Average evaluation score: 3.7

Everything considered: interpretive and educational program
FY08: 47 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY08 Satisfaction measure: 72%
Average evaluation score: 3.8
Cove Recreation Site
Fees

Total fees paid
FY08: 100 respondents
Amount spent
No fees 13%
Under $25 63%
$25 - $50 18%
> $50 0%

How appropriate was the fee charged for this site/area?
FY08: 92 respondents
Rating
Far too low 1%
Too low 3%
About right 79%
Too high 12%
Far too high 4%

The value of the recreation opportunity was at least equal to the fee asked to pay.
FY08: 86 respondents
Rating
Strongly agree 28%
Agree 50%
Not Sure 14%
Disagree 8%
Strongly disagree 0%

Commercial Recreation Operations

Quality of Commercial Services
FY08: 9 respondents
The chart for this question has been excluded because there were fewer than 10 responses. See page 2 for discussion regarding the required minimum response count.
Cove Recreation Site
Primary Activities at this Site/Area

**Primary activities**
FY08: 96 respondents**

- Camping: 95%
- Fishing: 91%
- Hunting: 0%
- Target shooting: 1%
- Sightseeing: 23%
- Picnicking: 29%
- Hiking/walking: 41%
- Swimming: 30%
- Motorized boating: 39%
- Non-motorized boating/rafting: 14%
- Horseback riding: 2%
- Rock climbing: 3%
- Driving for pleasure: 10%
- Bicycling: 3%
- Motorized recreation vehicles: 7%
- Education and interpretation: 0%
- Birdwatching/wildlife viewing: 26%
- Other: 1%

**Proportion of respondents**

**Percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could choose more than one activity.**

**Programs (interpretive, walk, tour, exhibit, presentations, etc.)**

**Quality of program(s) attended**
FY08: 4 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>FY08</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction measure: 75%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average evaluation score: 4.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The chart for this question has been excluded because there were fewer than 10 responses. See page 2 for discussion regarding the required minimum response count.*
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Demographics

Visitor group composition
FY08: 90 groups
Age group
- Adults (18 and over): 68%
- Teenagers (13-17): 9%
- Children (under 12): 27%

Number of teenagers (13-17) in group
FY08: 90 groups
- 6 and more: 0%
- 3-5: 2%
- 1-2: 11%
- None: 88%

Number of adults (18 and over) in group
FY08: 90 groups
- 6 and more: 4%
- 3-5: 36%
- 1-2: 60%

Number of children (under 12) in group
FY08: 90 groups
- 6 and more: 0%
- 3-5: 13%
- 1-2: 23%
- None: 60%

Respondent age
FY08: 93 respondents
- 71 and over: 1%
- 61-70: 14%
- 51-60: 27%
- 41-50: 22%
- 31-40: 15%
- 22-30: 13%
- 18-21: 9%

Gender
FY08: 90 respondents
- Male: 53%
- Female: 47%
Ability to adequately use the facilities
FY08: 25 respondents

- Very good: 60%
- Good: 30%
- Average: 4%
- Poor: 0%
- Very poor: 0%

FY08 Satisfaction measure: 96%
Average evaluation score: 4.6

Ability to access exhibits, waysides, etc.
FY08: 18 respondents

- Very good: 44%
- Good: 44%
- Average: 11%
- Poor: 0%
- Very poor: 0%

FY08: Satisfaction measure: 89%
Average evaluation score: 4.3

Ability to understand the messages
FY08: 21 respondents

- Very good: 49%
- Good: 40%
- Average: 5%
- Poor: 0%
- Very poor: 0%

FY08: Satisfaction measure: 95%
Average evaluation score: 4.4

Ability to use the services in this area
FY08: 25 respondents

- Very good: 60%
- Good: 28%
- Average: 12%
- Poor: 0%
- Very poor: 0%

FY08: Satisfaction measure: 88%
Average evaluation score: 4.5