Poison Spider Off-Highway Vehicle Park Visitor Survey

Introduction

In order for the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) to comply with the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA), and better meet the needs of the public; a visitor satisfaction survey was conducted at 24 BLM recreation sites in 13 states during fiscal year 2013 (FY13). The survey was developed to measure each site's performance related to BLM GPRA Goal 3.1 - *Provide for a quality recreation experience, including access, and enjoyment of natural and cultural resources on DOI managed and partnered lands and waters;* and Goal 3.2 - *Provide for and receive fair value in recreation.* The information collected during the survey will also help the BLM better serve the public. The survey collected visitor satisfaction data regarding visitor information (i.e., use of maps, signs, brochures), developed facilities, managing recreation use, resource management, BLM staff and customer service, and educational and interpretive materials.

The results of the visitor satisfaction survey conducted at Poison Spider Off-Highway Vehicle Park are summarized in this data report. A description of the research methods and limitations can be found on the next page. Below (left) is a graph summarizing visitor opinions of the "overall quality of recreation experience." The satisfaction measure next to this graph is a combined percentage of "good" and "very good" responses. This is the primary performance measure for GPRA Goal 3.1 and should be used for reporting performance for this goal (NOTE: the satisfaction measure may not equal the sum of "very good" and "good" percentages due to rounding).

The response rate for this site survey was 100%. The graph and satisfaction measure summarizing visitor opinions of the "value for fee paid", which is the primary performance measure for GPRA Goal 3.2, can be found on page 9.

### Overall quality of recreation experience

**FY13: 48 respondents**

- **Very good:** 44%
- **Good:** 42%
- **Average:** 13%
- **Poor:** 0%
- **Very poor:** 2%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FY13**

- **Satisfaction measure:** 85%
- **Average evaluation score:** 4.3

### FY13 GPRA Satisfaction Measure

Percentage of site visitors satisfied overall with appropriate facilities, services, and recreational opportunities:

85%
Understanding the Results

Inside this report are graphs that illustrate the survey results. The report contains 8 categories of data regarding BLM amenities, staff, and services plus selected demographics. Within these categories are graphs for each indicator evaluated by site visitors. For example, the Visitor Information category includes indicators such as “providing useful maps and brochures,” “adequate signs on site for direction,” and so forth. In each category there is a graph entitled “Everything Considered”. This graph is the basis for determining visitor satisfaction for each category and GPRA reporting numbers.

Each graph includes the following information:

- The number of visitor responses for the indicator;
- The percentage of responses which were "very good," "good," "average," "poor," and "very poor;"
- A "satisfaction measure" that combines the percentage of total responses which were "very good" or "good;" and
- An average evaluation score (mean score) based on the following values: very poor= 1, poor= 2, average= 3, good= 4, very good= 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very Good</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Very Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The higher the average evaluation score, the more positive the visitor response
- Graph percentages may not equal 100% due to rounding

Research Methods

Surveys were distributed to a random sample of visitors at this site during a selected period in FY13. The survey response rate is described on the first page of this report, meaning that 100% of those randomly sampled responded to the survey. The data reflect visitor opinions about this site’s facilities, management, services, educational opportunities, and fees during the survey period. Visitor activities and selected demographics were also captured. A representative sample of the general visitor population were surveyed at selected locations. The results do not necessarily apply to visitors during other times of the year, or visitors who did not visit the survey locations on site.

Returned surveys were electronically scanned and the data analyzed. Frequency distributions were calculated for each indicator and category.

All percentage calculations were rounded to the nearest percent.

The survey response rate is described on the first page of this report. The sample size (n) varies from figure to figure, depending on the number of responses.

Caution is advised when interpreting any data with a sample size of less than 30. In such cases, the word “CAUTION!” is included in the graph. This report excludes any indicator with less than 10 responses.

For most indicators, the survey data are expected to be accurate with in ±6% with 95% confidence. This means that if different samples had been drawn, the results would have been similar (±6%) 95 out of 100 times.

For more information about this survey, contact Jennifer Hoger Russell, BLM Survey Project Coordinator at the University of Idaho Park Studies Unit (208) 885-4806
Poison Spider Off-Highway Vehicle Park
Visitor Information

Providing useful maps and brochures

FY13: 39 respondents

- Very good: 21%
- Good: 41%
- Average: 18%
- Poor: 15%
- Very poor: 5%

FY13

Satisfaction measure: 62%
Average evaluation score: 3.6

Ensuring public awareness of rules and regulations

FY13: 50 respondents

- Very good: 30%
- Good: 42%
- Average: 20%
- Poor: 8%
- Very poor: 0%

FY13

Satisfaction measure: 72%
Average evaluation score: 3.9

Providing useful information on the Internet

FY13: 31 respondents

- Very good: 23%
- Good: 35%
- Average: 23%
- Poor: 13%
- Very poor: 6%

FY13:

Satisfaction measure: 58%
Average evaluation score: 3.5

Providing adequate signs on site for direction and orientation

FY13: 48 respondents

- Very good: 21%
- Good: 38%
- Average: 23%
- Poor: 13%
- Very poor: 0%

FY13:

Satisfaction measure: 58%
Average evaluation score: 3.5

Everything considered: quality of BLM visitor information

FY13: 52 respondents

- Very good: 17%
- Good: 48%
- Average: 27%
- Poor: 8%
- Very poor: 0%

FY13:

Satisfaction measure: 65%
Average evaluation score: 3.8
Poison Spider Off-Highway Vehicle Park
Developed Facilities

Maintaining roads for motorized vehicles
FY13: 54 respondents

FY13
Satisfaction measure: 67%
Average evaluation score: 3.8

Maintaining cleanliness of restrooms and other physical facilities
FY13: 44 respondents

FY13:
Satisfaction measure: 64%
Average evaluation score: 3.6

Maintaining trails for non-motorized use
FY13: 32 respondents

FY13
Satisfaction measure: 66%
Average evaluation score: 3.8

Maintaining a clean site
FY13: 54 respondents

FY13:
Satisfaction measure: 83%
Average evaluation score: 4.2

Everything considered: overall condition of developed facilities
FY13: 54 respondents

FY13:
Satisfaction measure: 63%
Average evaluation score: 3.8
Managing the appropriate use of vehicles
FY13: 53 respondents

- Very good: 30%
- Good: 55%
- Average: 10%
- Poor: 0%
- Very poor: 0%

FY13: Satisfaction measure: 85%
Average evaluation score: 4.2

Managing the number of people
FY13: 49 respondents

- Very good: 29%
- Good: 51%
- Average: 18%
- Poor: 2%
- Very poor: 0%

FY13: Satisfaction measure: 80%
Average evaluation score: 4.1

Keeping noise at appropriate levels
FY13: 49 respondents

- Very good: 33%
- Good: 55%
- Average: 12%
- Poor: 0%
- Very poor: 0%

FY13: Satisfaction measure: 88%
Average evaluation score: 4.2

Providing sufficient law enforcement presence to prevent crime
FY13: 50 respondents

- Very good: 40%
- Good: 42%
- Average: 18%
- Poor: 0%
- Very poor: 0%

FY13: Satisfaction measure: 82%
Average evaluation score: 4.2

Everything considered: visitor and recreation management
FY13: 52 respondents

- Very good: 25%
- Good: 54%
- Average: 21%
- Poor: 0%
- Very poor: 0%

FY13: Satisfaction measure: 79%
Average evaluation score: 4
Adequately protecting the natural resources
FY13: 50 respondents
- Very good: 20%
- Good: 48%
- Average: 28%
- Poor: 2%
- Very poor: 2%
FY13: Satisfaction measure: 68%
Average evaluation score: 3.8

Ensuring that visitor activities do not infringe on resource protection
FY13: 49 respondents
- Very good: 33%
- Good: 36%
- Average: 29%
- Poor: 2%
- Very poor: 2%
FY13: Satisfaction measure: 67%
Average evaluation score: 3.9

Adequately protecting the cultural resources
FY13: 43 respondents
- Very good: 37%
- Good: 40%
- Average: 21%
- Poor: 0%
- Very poor: 2%
FY13: Satisfaction measure: 77%
Average evaluation score: 4.1

Everything considered: BLM protection of natural and cultural resources
FY13: 51 respondents
- Very good: 27%
- Good: 41%
- Average: 27%
- Poor: 2%
- Very poor: 2%
FY13: Satisfaction measure: 69%
Average evaluation score: 3.9
Providing quality educational and interpretive material about the resources at this site

- **FY13:** Satisfaction measure: 63%
  - Average evaluation score: 3.7

Providing stewardship information on how to protect the cultural and natural resources

- **FY13:** Satisfaction measure: 59%
  - Average evaluation score: 3.6

Providing a sufficient quantity of educational and interpretive materials about the resources at this site

- **FY13:** Satisfaction measure: 59%
  - Average evaluation score: 3.6

Everything considered: interpretive and educational program

- **FY13:** Satisfaction measure: 53%
  - Average evaluation score: 3.6
How appropriate was the fee charged for this site/area?

FY13: 13 respondents

Rating

CAUTION!

Far too low 8%
Too low 69%
About right 15%
Too high 2%
Far too high 8%

Proportion of respondents

The value of the recreation opportunity was at least equal to the fee asked to pay.

FY13: 12 respondents

Rating

CAUTION!

Strongly agree 33%
Agree 58%
Not Sure 0%
Disagree 8%
Strongly disagree 0%

Proportion of respondents

Total fees paid

FY13: 54 respondents

Amount spent

Proportion of respondents

No fees 9%
Under $25 7%
$25 - $50 0%
> $50 2%

Commercial Recreation Operations

Quality of Commercial Services

FY13: 8 respondents

The chart for this question has been excluded because there were fewer than 10 responses. See page 2 for discussion regarding the required minimum response count.
**Primary activities**

FY13: 54 respondents**

- Camping: 7%
- Fishing: 6%
- Hunting: 6%
- Target shooting: 6%
- Sightseeing: 4%
- Picnicking: 2%
- Hiking/walking: 7%
- Swimming: 0%
- Motorized boating: 0%
- Non-motorized boating/rafting: 2%
- Horseback riding: 2%
- Rock climbing: 4%
- Driving for pleasure: 20%
- Bicycling: 2%
- Motorized recreation vehicles: 93%
- Education and interpretation: 0%
- Birdwatching/wildlife viewing: 2%
- Other: 6%

**Proportion of respondents**

[Chart showing the activities and their proportions]

**% Percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could choose more than one activity.**

**Programs (interpretive, walk, tour, exhibit, presentations, etc.)**

**Quality of program(s) attended**

FY13: 5 respondents

The chart for this question has been excluded because there were fewer than 10 responses. See page 2 for discussion regarding the required minimum response count.

FY13

Satisfaction measure: 100%
Average evaluation score: 4.8
Poison Spider Off-Highway Vehicle Park Demographics

Visitor group composition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>FY13: 41 groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adults (18 and over)</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teenagers (13-17)</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children (under 12)</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of adults (18 and over) in group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adults in group</th>
<th>FY13: 41 groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 and more</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of teenagers (13-17) in group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teenagers in group</th>
<th>FY13: 41 groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 and more</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of children (under 12) in group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Children in group</th>
<th>FY13: 41 groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 and more</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respondent age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>FY13: 50 respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>71 and over</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-70</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22-30</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-21</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>FY13: 45 respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Poison Spider Off-Highway Vehicle Park
Accessibility to Visitors with Disabilities

Ability to adequately use the facilities
FY13: 12 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>FY13</th>
<th>Satisfaction measure: 83%</th>
<th>Average evaluation score: 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ability to access exhibits, waysides, etc.
FY13: 7 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>FY13</th>
<th>Satisfaction measure: 71%</th>
<th>Average evaluation score: 3.7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ability to understand the messages
FY13: 12 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>FY13</th>
<th>Satisfaction measure: 75%</th>
<th>Average evaluation score: 3.8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ability to use the services in this area
FY13: 13 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>FY13</th>
<th>Satisfaction measure: 77%</th>
<th>Average evaluation score: 3.8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>