Fort Stanton Snowy River NCA Visitor Survey

Introduction

In order for the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) to comply with the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA), and better meet the needs of the public; a visitor satisfaction survey was conducted at 22 BLM recreation sites in 12 states during fiscal year 2014 (FY14). The survey was developed to measure each site's performance related to BLM GPRA Goal 3.1 - *Provide for a quality recreation experience, including access, and enjoyment of natural and cultural resources on DOI managed and partnered lands and waters;* and Goal 3.2 - *Provide for and receive fair value in recreation.* The information collected during the survey will also help the BLM better serve the public. The survey collected visitor satisfaction data regarding visitor information (i.e., use of maps, signs, brochures), developed facilities, managing recreation use, resource management, BLM staff and customer service, and educational and interpretive materials.

The results of the visitor satisfaction survey conducted at Fort Stanton Snowy River NCA are summarized in this data report. A description of the research methods and limitations can be found on the next page. Below (left) is a graph summarizing visitor opinions of the "overall quality of recreation experience." The satisfaction measure next to this graph is a combined percentage of "good" and "very good" responses. This is the primary performance measure for GPRA Goal 3.1 and should be used for reporting performance for this goal (NOTE: the satisfaction measure may not equal the sum of "very good" and "good" percentages due to rounding).

The response rate for this site survey was 55%. The graph and satisfaction measure summarizing visitor opinions of the “value for fee paid”, which is the primary performance measure for GPRA Goal 3.2, can be found on page 9.

**Overall quality of recreation experience**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>FY14: 59 respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FY14 GPRA Satisfaction Measure**

Percentage of site visitors satisfied overall with appropriate facilities, services, and recreational opportunities:

**98%**
Understanding the Results

Inside this report are graphs that illustrate the survey results. The report contains 8 categories of data regarding BLM amenities, staff, and services plus selected demographics. Within these categories are graphs for each indicator evaluated by site visitors. For example, the Visitor Information category includes indicators such as “providing useful maps and brochures,” “adequate signs on site for direction,” and so forth. In each category there is a graph entitled “Everything Considered”. This graph is the basis for determining visitor satisfaction for each category and GPRA reporting numbers.

Each graph includes the following information:

- The number of visitor responses for the indicator;
- The percentage of responses which were "very good," "good," "average," "poor," and "very poor;" A "satisfaction measure" that combines the percentage of total responses which were "very good" or "good;" and
- An average evaluation score (mean score) based on the following values: very poor= 1, poor= 2, average= 3, good= 4, very good= 5.

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very Good</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Very Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

- The higher the average evaluation score, the more positive the visitor response
- Graph percentages may not equal 100% due to rounding

Research Methods

Surveys were distributed to a random sample of visitors at this site during a selected period in FY14. The survey response rate is described on the first page of this report, meaning that 55% of those randomly sampled responded to the survey. The data reflect visitor opinions about this site’s facilities, management, services, educational opportunities, and fees during the survey period. Visitor activities and selected demographics were also captured. A representative sample of the general visitor population were surveyed at selected locations. The results do not necessarily apply to visitors during other times of the year, or visitors who did not visit the survey locations on site.

Returned surveys were electronically scanned and the data analyzed. Frequency distributions were calculated for each indicator and category.

All percentage calculations were rounded to the nearest percent.

The survey response rate is described on the first page of this report. The sample size (n) varies from figure to figure, depending on the number of responses.

Caution is advised when interpreting any data with a sample size of less than 30. In such cases, the word “CAUTION!” is included in the graph. This report excludes any indicator with less than 10 responses.

For most indicators, the survey data are expected to be accurate with in ± 6% with 95% confidence. This means that if different samples had been drawn, the results would have been similar (±6%) 95 out of 100 times.

For more information about this survey, contact the Social and Economic Sciences Research Center at Washington State University (509) 335-1511 sesrc@wsu.edu
Providing useful maps and brochures

FY14: 55 respondents

Rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>80%</th>
<th>100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>69%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY14 Satisfaction measure: 98%
Average evaluation score: 4.7

Ensuring public awareness of rules and regulations

FY14: 54 respondents

Rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>80%</th>
<th>100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>43%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY14 Satisfaction measure: 87%
Average evaluation score: 4.3

Providing useful information on the Internet

FY14: 29 respondents

Rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>80%</th>
<th>100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY14 Satisfaction measure: 79%
Average evaluation score: 4.2

Providing adequate signs on site for direction and orientation

FY14: 59 respondents

Rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>80%</th>
<th>100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>46%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY14: Satisfaction measure: 81%
Average evaluation score: 4.2

Everything considered: quality of BLM visitor information

FY14: 59 respondents

Rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>80%</th>
<th>100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY14: Satisfaction measure: 92%
Average evaluation score: 4.4
Maintaining roads for motorized vehicles
FY14: 57 respondents

Rating

Very good

Good

Average

Poor

Very poor

Proportion of respondents

FY14

Satisfaction measure: 96%
Average evaluation score: 4.5

Maintaining trails for non-motorized use
FY14: 61 respondents

Rating

Very good

Good

Average

Poor

Very poor

Proportion of respondents

FY14

Satisfaction measure: 95%
Average evaluation score: 4.6

Maintaining a clean site
FY14: 61 respondents

Rating

Very good

Good

Average

Poor

Very poor

Proportion of respondents

FY14

Satisfaction measure: 97%
Average evaluation score: 4.8

Maintaining cleanliness of restrooms and other physical facilities
FY14: 99 respondents

Rating

Very good

Good

Average

Poor

Very poor

Proportion of respondents

FY14

Satisfaction measure: 97%
Average evaluation score: 4.7

Everything considered: overall condition of developed facilities
FY14: 61 respondents

Rating

Very good

Good

Average

Poor

Very poor

Proportion of respondents

FY14

Satisfaction measure: 98%
Average evaluation score: 4.7
The results of the visitor satisfaction survey conducted at Fort Stanton Snowy River NCA are summarized regarding visitor information (i.e., use of maps, signs, brochures), developed facilities, managing recreation facilities, and ensuring that visitor activities do not violate rules and regulations. The average evaluation score for each category is also provided.

- **Managing the appropriate use of vehicles**: FY14: 47 respondents | FY14: Satisfaction measure: 91% | Average evaluation score: 4.6
- **Keeping noise at appropriate levels**: FY14: 52 respondents | FY14: Satisfaction measure: 96% | Average evaluation score: 4.7
- **Providing sufficient law enforcement presence to prevent crime**: FY14: 41 respondents | FY14: Satisfaction measure: 88% | Average evaluation score: 4.5
- **Everything considered: visitor and recreation management**: FY14: 55 respondents | FY14: Satisfaction measure: 93% | Average evaluation score: 4.7
Adequately protecting the natural resources

FY14: 57 respondents

- Very good: 34%
- Good: 19%
- Average: 5%
- Poor: 2%
- Very poor: 0%

FY14: Satisfaction measure: 93%
Average evaluation score: 4.6

Ensuring that visitor activities do not infringe on resource protection

FY14: 53 respondents

- Very good: 62%
- Good: 28%
- Average: 8%
- Poor: 4%
- Very poor: 0%

FY14: Satisfaction measure: 89%
Average evaluation score: 4.5

Adequately protecting the cultural resources

FY14: 50 respondents

- Very good: 62%
- Good: 24%
- Average: 12%
- Poor: 2%
- Very poor: 0%

FY14: Satisfaction measure: 86%
Average evaluation score: 4.5

Everything considered: BLM protection of natural and cultural resources

FY14: 57 respondents

- Very good: 63%
- Good: 28%
- Average: 5%
- Poor: 4%
- Very poor: 0%

FY14: Satisfaction measure: 91%
Average evaluation score: 4.5
Staff treated me courteously
FY14: 46 respondents
- Very good: 89%
- Good: 11%
- Average: 0%
- Poor: 0%
- Very poor: 0%
FY14: Satisfaction measure: 100%
Average evaluation score: 4.9

Staff demonstrated knowledge about the natural and cultural resources in the area
FY14: 35 respondents
- Very good: 71%
- Good: 26%
- Average: 0%
- Poor: 0%
- Very poor: 0%
FY14: Satisfaction measure: 97%
Average evaluation score: 4.7

Staff demonstrated knowledge about recreational opportunities in the area
FY14: 39 respondents
- Very good: 79%
- Good: 18%
- Average: 0%
- Poor: 0%
- Very poor: 0%
FY14: Satisfaction measure: 97%
Average evaluation score: 4.7

Everything considered: performance of BLM staff
FY14: 45 respondents
- Very good: 84%
- Good: 13%
- Average: 2%
- Poor: 0%
- Very poor: 0%
FY14: Satisfaction measure: 98%
Average evaluation score: 4.8
Providing quality educational and interpretive material about the resources at this site:

FY14: 38 respondents
- Very good: 55%
- Good: 26%
- Average: 16%
- Poor: 3%
- Very poor: 0%

FY14: Satisfaction measure: 82%
Average evaluation score: 4.3

Providing stewardship information on how to protect the cultural and natural resources:

FY14: 44 respondents
- Very good: 43%
- Good: 30%
- Average: 18%
- Poor: 2%
- Very poor: 0%

FY14: Satisfaction measure: 80%
Average evaluation score: 4.2

Providing a sufficient quantity of educational and interpretive materials about the resources at this site:

FY14: 41 respondents
- Very good: 49%
- Good: 32%
- Average: 15%
- Poor: 5%
- Very poor: 0%

FY14: Satisfaction measure: 80%
Average evaluation score: 4.2

Everything considered: interpretive and educational program:

FY14: 44 respondents
- Very good: 52%
- Good: 30%
- Average: 18%
- Poor: 2%
- Very poor: 0%

FY14: Satisfaction measure: 82%
Average evaluation score: 4.3
**Commercial Recreation Operations**

### Total fees paid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount spent</th>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No fees</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under $25</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25 - $50</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; $50</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FY14: 63 respondents**

### How appropriate was the fee charged for this site/area?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Far too low</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too low</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>About right</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too high</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far too high</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FY14: 22 respondents**

### The value of the recreation opportunity was at least equal to the fee asked to pay.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAUTION!</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Sure</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FY14: 21 respondents**

### Quality of Commercial Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Proportion of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Far too low</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too low</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>About right</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too high</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far too high</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FY14: 6 respondents**

The chart for this question has been excluded because there were fewer than 10 responses. See page 2 for discussion regarding the required minimum response count.
Fort Stanton Snowy River NCA
Primary Activities at this Site/Area

Primary activities
FY14: 63 respondents**

- Camping: 44%
- Fishing: 0%
- Hunting: 0%
- Target shooting: 0%
- Sightseeing: 14%
- Picnicking: 10%
- Hiking/walking: 27%
- Swimming: 0%
- Motorized boating: 0%
- Non-motorized boating/rafting: 0%
- Horseback riding: 84%
- Rock climbing: 0%
- Driving for pleasure: 6%
- Bicycling: 8%
- Motorized recreation vehicles: 3%
- Education and interpretation: 6%
- Birdwatching/wildlife viewing: 14%
- Other: 5%

** Percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could choose more than one activity.

Programs (interpretive, walk, tour, exhibit, presentations, etc.)

Quality of program(s) attended
FY14: 11 respondents

- Very good: 91%
- Good: 9%
- Average: 0%
- Poor: 0%
- Very poor: 0%

FY14 Satisfaction measure: 100%
Average evaluation score: 4.9

CAUTION!
Fort Stanton Snowy River NCA
Demographics

Visitor group composition
FY14: 53 groups

Age group

- Adults (18 and over): 91%
- Teenagers (13-17): 9%
- Children (under 12): 9%

Number of teenagers (13-17) in group
FY14: 53 groups

- 6 and more: 0%
- 3-5: 2%
- 1-2: 6%
- none: 92%

Number of adults (18 and over) in group
FY14: 53 groups

- 6 and more: 11%
- 3-5: 15%
- 1-2: 74%

Number of children (under 12) in group
FY14: 53 groups

- 6 and more: 0%
- 3-5: 0%
- 1-2: 9%
- none: 91%

Respondent age
FY14: 59 respondents

- 71 and over: 14%
- 61-70: 42%
- 51-60: 24%
- 41-50: 14%
- 31-40: 3%
- 22-30: 0%
- 18-21: 0%

Gender
FY14: 53 respondents

- Male: 28%
- Female: 72%
Ability to adequately use the facilities  
FY14: 4 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>FY14</th>
<th>Satisfaction measure: 100%</th>
<th>Average evaluation score: 4.3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The chart for this question has been excluded because there were fewer than 10 responses. See page 2 for discussion regarding the required minimum response count.

Ability to access exhibits, waysides, etc.  
FY14: 3 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>FY14</th>
<th>Satisfaction measure: 100%</th>
<th>Average evaluation score: 4.3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The chart for this question has been excluded because there were fewer than 10 responses. See page 2 for discussion regarding the required minimum response count.

Ability to understand the messages  
FY14: 1 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>FY14</th>
<th>Satisfaction measure: 100%</th>
<th>Average evaluation score: 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The chart for this question has been excluded because there were fewer than 10 responses. See page 2 for discussion regarding the required minimum response count.

Ability to use the services in this area  
FY14: 4 respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>FY14</th>
<th>Satisfaction measure: 100%</th>
<th>Average evaluation score: 4.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The chart for this question has been excluded because there were fewer than 10 responses. See page 2 for discussion regarding the required minimum response count.