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### Executive Summary

This visitor study report profiles a systematic random sample of Congaree National Park (NP) visitors during January 27–March 7, 2012. A total of 446 questionnaires were distributed to visitor groups. Of those, 341 questionnaires were returned, resulting in a 76.5% response rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Group size and type</strong></th>
<th>Fifty-five percent of visitor groups consisted of two people. Fifty-eight percent of visitor groups consisted of family groups.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>State or country of residence</strong></td>
<td>United States visitors were from 34 states and comprised 98% of total visitation during the survey period, with 52% from South Carolina. International visitors were from 7 countries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Frequency of visits</strong></td>
<td>Seventy-eight percent of visitors visited the park once in the past 12 months and 63% were visiting the park for the first time in their lives. Nineteen percent had visited four or more times in their lifetime.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, ethnicity, race, and educational level</strong></td>
<td>Forty-seven percent of visitors were ages 51-70 years, 22% were 21-40 years old, 12% were ages 15 years or younger. One percent were Hispanic or Latino. Most visitors (94%) were White, 3% were Asian and 2% were African American. Thirty-nine percent of respondents had a graduate degree and 38% had completed a bachelor’s degree.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical conditions</strong></td>
<td>Six percent of visitor groups had members with physical conditions affecting their ability to access or participate in activities and services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Awareness of park programs</strong></td>
<td>Sixty-five percent of visitor groups were aware, prior to their visit, of the various programs offered at the park.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Knowledge of wilderness</strong></td>
<td>Fifty-nine percent of respondents indicated they were aware of what congressionally designated wilderness is before their visit to the park. Forty-three percent of visitor groups said they learned about wilderness while at the park.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-native species management</strong></td>
<td>Fifty percent of respondents were aware of the policy regarding removal of non-native species. Most visitor groups (86%) were in support of removal of non-native plants and 77% were supportive of removal of non-native animals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scientific research and education in the park</strong></td>
<td>Forty-seven percent of visitor groups noticed scientists working or scientific markers or equipment being used in the park. Through programs or products, 26% of the visitors learned about the results of scientific studies conducted at the park.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Information sources</strong></td>
<td>Most visitors (94%) obtained information about the park prior to their visit. Of those visitors, 56% used the park website and 30% obtained their information from friends/relatives/word of mouth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Park as destination</strong></td>
<td>Seventy-five percent of visitor groups said the park was their primary destination and 21% said it was one of several destinations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary reason for visiting the area</strong></td>
<td>Twenty-eight percent of visitor groups were residents of the area (within 1-hour drive of the park). The most common primary reason for visiting the park area among nonresident visitor groups was to visit the park (65%).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Executive Summary (continued)

Overnight stays
Thirty-eight percent of visitor groups stayed overnight away from home either in the park or the area. Of those visitors that stayed outside the park (within a 1-hour drive), 49% stayed one night and 23% stayed two nights.

Accommodations
Of those visitor groups that stayed outside the park (within 1-hour drive), 82% stayed in a lodge, hotel, motel, cabin, rented condo/home, or B&B.

Time spent at park and in the area
The average length of stay in the park was 8.8 hours or 0.4 days. The average length of stay in the area was 31.4 hours, or 1.3 days.

Activities
The most common visitor activities within the park were walking/hiking (85%), visiting the visitor center (71%), and birdwatching (25%).

Use of park trails
The Elevated Boardwalk Trail was used by 79% of visitor groups and the Low Boardwalk Trail was used by 70%.

Information services and facilities
The information services and facilities most commonly used by visitor groups were park brochure/map (90%), assistance from park staff (83%), and visitor center exhibits (75%).

Visitor services and facilities
The visitor services and facilities most commonly used by visitor groups were boardwalks (89%), restrooms (88%), and parking areas (85%).

Protecting park attributes, resources, and experiences
The highest combined proportions of “extremely important” and “very important” ratings of protecting park attributes, resources, and experiences included clean air (94%), natural quiet/sounds of nature (94%), and clean water (93%).

Elements affecting park experience
Thirty-nine percent of visitor groups reported that encountering small numbers of visitors on the trails added to their trip experiences. Airplane noise detracted from 42% of the visitor groups’ experiences.

Expenditures
The average visitor group expenditure (inside and outside the park within a 1-hour drive) was $153. The median group expenditure (50% of groups spent more and 50% of groups spent less) was $55. The average total expenditure per capita was $74.

Future visit
Sixty-eight percent of visitor groups were interested in canoeing/kayaking on future visits and 57% were interested in either owl prowls or night walk/night sky programs. Seventy-four percent of visitor groups were interested in learning more about plants and animals on future visits and 61% were interested in learning more about either champion trees or old growth floodplain forest.

Overall quality
Most visitor groups (97%) rated the overall quality of facilities, services, and recreational opportunities at Congaree NP as “very good” or “good.” No visitor groups rated the overall quality as “very poor.”

For more information about the Visitor Services Project, please contact the Park Studies Unit at the University of Idaho at (208) 885-7863 or the following website http://www.psu.uidaho.edu.
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Introduction

This report describes the results of a visitor study at Congaree National Park (NP) in Hopkins, SC, conducted January 27 – March 7, 2012 by the National Park Service (NPS) Visitor Services Project (VSP), part of the Park Studies Unit (PSU) at the University of Idaho.

As described in the National Park Service website for Congaree National Park: “Welcome to the largest remnant of old-growth floodplain forest remaining on the continent! Experience champion trees, towering to record size amidst astonishing biodiversity…Congaree National Park houses a museum quality exhibit area within the Harry Hampton Visitor Center, a 2.4 mile boardwalk loop trail, over 20 miles of backwoods hiking trails, canoeing, kayaking, fishing and more…As a designated Wilderness area, International Biosphere Reserve, Globally Important Bird Area, and the largest intact tract of old-growth floodplain forest in North America, Congaree National Park is home to a variety of ongoing research and education projects.” (http://www.nps.gov/cong/index.htm, retrieved October, 2011).

Organization of the Report

This report is organized into three sections.

Section 1: Methods
This section discusses the procedures, limitations, and special conditions that may affect the study results.

Section 2: Results
This section provides a summary for each question in the questionnaire and includes visitor comments to open-ended questions. The presentation of the results of this study does not follow the order of questions in the questionnaire.

Section 3: Appendices
Appendix 1: The Questionnaire. A copy of the questionnaire distributed to visitor groups.

Appendix 2: Additional Analysis. A list of sample questions for cross-references and cross comparisons. Comparisons can be analyzed within a park or between parks. Results of additional analyses are not included in this report.

Appendix 3: Decision Rules for Checking Nonresponse Bias. An explanation of how the nonresponse bias was determined.
Presentation of the Results

Results are represented in the form of graphs (see Example 1 below), scatter plots, pie charts, tables, and text.

Key

1. The figure title describes the graph’s information.

2. Listed above the graph, the “N” shows the number of individuals or visitor groups responding to the question. If “N” is less than 30, “CAUTION!” is shown on the graph to indicate the results may be unreliable.

3. Vertical information describes the response categories.

4. Horizontal information shows the number or proportion of responses in each category.

5. In most graphs, percentages provide additional information.

Example 1

![Graph Example]

Figure 14. Number of visits to the park in past 12 months
Methods

Survey Design and Procedures

Sample size and sampling plan

All VSP questionnaires follow design principles outlined in Don A. Dillman’s book *Mail and Internet Surveys: The Tailored Design Method* (2007). Using this method, the sample size was calculated based on park visitation statistics of previous years.

Brief interviews were conducted with a systematic, random sample of visitor groups that arrived at the visitor center during January 27 – March 7, 2012. Visitors were surveyed between the hours of 8 a.m. and 5 p.m. During this survey, 460 visitor groups were contacted and 446 of these groups (97%) accepted questionnaires. (The average acceptance rate for 250 VSP visitor studies conducted from 1988 through 2011 is 91.5%.) Questionnaires were completed and returned by 341 respondents, resulting in a 76.5% response rate for this study. (The average response rate for the 250 VSP visitor studies is 72.3%).

Questionnaire design

The Congaree NP questionnaire was developed at a workshop held with park staff to design and prioritize questions. Some of the questions were comparable with VSP studies conducted at other parks while others were customized for Congaree NP. Many questions asked visitors to choose answers from a list of responses, often with an open-ended option, while others were completely open-ended.

No pilot study was conducted to test the Congaree NP questionnaire. However, all questions followed Office Management and Budget (OMB) guidelines and/or were used in previous surveys; thus, the clarity and consistency of the survey instrument have been tested and supported.
**Survey procedure**

Visitor groups were greeted, briefly introduced to the purpose of the study, and asked to participate. If visitors agreed, they were asked which member (at least 16 years old) had the next birthday. The individual with the next birthday was selected to complete the questionnaire for the group. An interview, lasting approximately two minutes, was conducted with that person to determine group size, group type, age of the member completing the questionnaire, and how this visit to the park fit into their group’s travel plans. These individuals were asked their names, addresses, and telephone numbers or email addresses in order to mail a reminder/thank-you postcard and follow-ups. Participants were asked to complete the questionnaire after their visit, and return it in the Business Reply Mail envelope provided.

Two weeks following the survey, a reminder/thank-you postcard was mailed to all participants who provided a valid mailing address (see Table 1). Replacement questionnaires were mailed to participants who had not returned their questionnaires four weeks after the survey. Seven weeks after the survey, a second round of replacement questionnaires was mailed to participants who had not returned their questionnaires.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Follow-up mailing distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round 1 Mailing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postcards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt; replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round 2 Mailing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postcards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt; replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round 3 Mailing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postcards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt; replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; replacement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Data analysis**

Returned questionnaires were coded and the responses were processed using custom and standard statistical software applications—Statistical Analysis Software® (SAS), and a custom designed FileMaker Pro® application. Descriptive statistics and cross-tabulations were calculated for the coded data; responses to open-ended questions were categorized and summarized. Double-key data entry validation was performed on numeric and text entry variables and the remaining checkbox (bubble) variables were read by optical mark recognition (OMR) software.
Limitations

As with all surveys, this study has limitations that should be considered when interpreting the results.

1. This was a self-administered survey. Respondents completed the questionnaire after the visit, which may have resulted in poor recall. Thus, it is not possible to know whether visitor responses reflected actual behavior.

2. The data reflect visitor use patterns at the selected sites during the study period of January 27 – March 7, 2012. The results present a ‘snapshot in time’ and do not necessarily apply to visitors during other times of the year.

3. Caution is advised when interpreting any data with a sample size of less than 30, as the results may be unreliable. Whenever the sample size is less than 30, the word “CAUTION!” is included in the graph, figure, table, or text.

4. Occasionally, there may be inconsistencies in the results. Inconsistencies arise from missing data or incorrect answers (due to misunderstood directions, carelessness, or poor recall of information). Therefore, refer to both the percentage and N (number of individuals or visitor groups) when interpreting the results.

Special conditions

The weather during the survey period varied between sunny and warm to cool with occasional cloud cover and rain. Temperatures ranged from low 30s in the morning to 60 to 80 F in the afternoon. No special events occurred in the area that would have affected the type and amount of visitation to the park.
**Checking nonresponse bias**

Five variables were used to check non-response bias: participant age, group size, group type, how visit to the park fit in to travel plans, and participant proximity from home to the park. Respondents and nonrespondents were not statistically different in terms of group size and primary reason for visiting the area, but were significantly different in average age, group type, and proximity from home to the park (see Tables 3 - 6). The results indicated that there are potential nonresponse biases in the survey results. Respondents at younger age ranges (especially 40 and younger) may be underrepresented in the results. Visitors who traveled with friends, and visitors who lived within 51 to 100 miles radius of the park may also be underrepresented. See Appendix 3 for more details of the non-response bias checking procedures.

**Table 3.** Comparison of respondents and nonrespondents by average age and group size

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>Nonrespondents</th>
<th>p-value (t-test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>52.36 (N=342)</td>
<td>41.98 (N=103)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group size</td>
<td>2.41 (N=333)</td>
<td>3.74 (N=100)</td>
<td>0.148</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4.** Comparison of respondents and nonrespondents by group type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group type</th>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>Nonrespondents</th>
<th>p-value (chi-square)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alone</td>
<td>61 (18%)</td>
<td>15 (15%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td>193 (58%)</td>
<td>41 (41%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends</td>
<td>54 (16%)</td>
<td>38 (38%)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family and friends</td>
<td>24 (7%)</td>
<td>7 (7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2 (1%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5.** Comparison of respondents and nonrespondents by how park fit into travel plans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Destination</th>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>Nonrespondents</th>
<th>p-value (chi-square)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Park as primary destination</td>
<td>246 (73%)</td>
<td>83 (19%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park as one of several</td>
<td>75 (22%)</td>
<td>17 (4%)</td>
<td>0.389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>destinations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unplanned visit</td>
<td>15 (4%)</td>
<td>4 (1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 6.** Comparison of respondents and nonrespondents by distance from home to park

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>Nonrespondents</th>
<th>p-value (chi-square)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Within 50 miles</td>
<td>121 (37%)</td>
<td>54 (13%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-100 miles</td>
<td>37 (11%)</td>
<td>14 (3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-200 miles</td>
<td>51 (16%)</td>
<td>14 (3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201 miles or more</td>
<td>116 (35%)</td>
<td>20 (5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International visitors</td>
<td>3 (1%)</td>
<td>2 (&lt;1%)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results

Group and Visitor Characteristics

Visitor group size

**Question 19b**
On this visit, how many people were in your personal group, including yourself?

**Results**

- 55% of visitor groups consisted of two people (see Figure 1).
- 18% were alone.

![Figure 1. Visitor group size](image)

Visitor group type

**Question 19a**
On this visit, what kind of personal group (not guided tour/school/other organized group) were you with?

**Results**

- 58% of visitor groups consisted of family members (see Figure 2).
- “Other” group type (1%) was:
  - Co-workers

![Figure 2. Visitor group type](image)

Visitors with organized groups
**Question 18a**
On this visit, were you and your personal group with a commercial guided tour group?

**Results**
- No visitor groups were with a commercial guided tour group (see Figure 3).

![Figure 3. Visitors with a commercial guided tour group](image)

**Question 18b**
On this visit, were you and your personal group with a school/educational group?

**Results**
- 4% of visitor groups were with a school/educational group (see Figure 4).

![Figure 4. Visitors with a school/educational group](image)

**Question 18c**
On this visit, were you and your personal group with an “other” organized group (scouts, work, church, etc.)?

**Results**
- 5% of visitor groups were with an “other” organized group (see Figure 5).

![Figure 5. Visitors with an “other” organized group](image)
Question 18d
If you were with one of these organized groups, how many people, including yourself, were in this group?

Results – Interpret with CAUTION!
• Not enough visitor groups responded to this question to provide reliable results (see Figure 6).

Figure 6. Organized group size
**United States visitors by state of residence**

**Question 20b**
For you and your personal group on this visit, what is your state of residence?

Note: Response was limited to 7 members from each visitor group.

**Results**
- U.S. visitors were from 34 states and comprised 98% of total visitation to the park during the survey period.
- 52% of U.S. visitors came from South Carolina (see Table 6 and Figure 7).
- 11% came from North Carolina.
- Smaller proportions came from 32 other states.

**Table 6. United States visitors by state of residence**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Number of visitors</th>
<th>Percent of U.S. visitors</th>
<th>Percent of total visitors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 other states</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 7. United States visitors by state of residence**
Visitors from South Carolina and adjacent states by county of residence

Note: Response was limited to 7 members from each visitor group.

Results

- Visitors from South Carolina and adjacent states were from 65 counties and comprised 66% of the total U.S. visitation to the park during the survey period.
- 34% came from Richland County, SC (see Table 7).
- 18% came from Lexington County, SC.
- Smaller proportions of visitors came from 63 other counties in South Carolina and adjacent states.

Table 7. Visitors from South Carolina and adjacent states by county of residence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County, State</th>
<th>Number of visitors</th>
<th>Percent*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N=483 individuals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richland, SC</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lexington, SC</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mecklenburg, NC</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aiken, SC</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kershaw, SC</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaufort, SC</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sumter, SC</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buncombe, NC</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenville, SC</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 other counties</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
International visitors by country of residence

**Question 20b**
For you and your personal group on this visit, what is your country of residence?

**Note:** Response was limited to 7 members from each visitor group.

**Results — CAUTION!**
Not enough visitors responded to this question to provide reliable results (see Table 8).

Table 8. International visitors by country of residence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Number of visitors</th>
<th>Percent of international visitors</th>
<th>Percent of total visitors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N=16 Individuals*</td>
<td></td>
<td>N=743 Individuals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Number of visits to Congaree NP in past 12 months**

**Question 20c**
For you and your personal group on this visit, how many times have you visited Congaree NP in the past 12 months (including this visit)?

**Note:** Response was limited to 7 members from each visitor group.

**Results**
- 78% of visitors visited the park once in the past 12 months (see Figure 8).
- 10% of visitors visited two times.

![Figure 8. Number of visits to park in past 12 months](image)

**Number of lifetime visits to Congaree NP**

**Question 20d**
For you and your personal group on this visit, how many times have you visited Congaree NP in your lifetime (including this visit)?

**Note:** Response was limited to 7 members from each visitor group.

**Results**
- 63% of visitors visited the park once in their lifetime (see Figure 9).
- 19% of visitors visited four or more times.

![Figure 9. Number of visits to park in lifetime](image)
Number of visits to other national parks in past 12 months

Question 20e
For you and your personal group on this visit, how many times have you visited other national parks in the past 12 months (including this visit)?

Note: Response was limited to 7 members from each visitor group.

Results
- 27% of visitors had visited other national parks once in the past 12 months (see Figure 10).
- 26% had visited other national parks five or more times.

Figure 10. Number of visits to other national parks in past 12 months

Number of lifetime visits to other national parks

Question 20f
For you and your personal group on this visit, how many times have you visited other national parks in your lifetime (including this visit)?

Note: Response was limited to 7 members from each visitor group.

Results
- 33% of visitors had visited other national parks 21 or more times in their lifetime (see Figure 11).
- 24% had visited other national parks between one and five times.

Figure 11. Number of visits to other national parks in lifetime
Visitor age

Question 20a
For you and your personal group on this visit, what is your current age?

Note: Response was limited to seven members from each visitor group.

Results
• Visitor ages ranged from one to 88 years.
• 47% were 51-70 years old (see Figure 12).
• 22% were 31-50 years old.
• 12% of visitors were 15 years or younger

Figure 12. Visitor age
Visitor ethnicity

**Question 23a**
Are you or members of your personal group Hispanic or Latino?

Note: Response was limited to 7 members from each visitor group.

Results
- 1% of visitors were Hispanic or Latino (see Figure 13).

![Figure 13. Visitors who were Hispanic or Latino](image)

Visitor race

**Question 23b**
What is your race? What is the race of each member of your personal group?

Note: Response was limited to 7 members from each visitor group.

Results
- 94% of visitors were White (see Figure 14).
- 3% were Asian.

![Figure 14. Visitor race](image)
**Visitors with physical conditions affecting access/participation**

**Question 22a**
Does anyone in your personal group have mobility or other physical impairments?

**Results**
- 6% of visitor groups included individuals with mobility or other physical conditions (see Figure 15).

![Figure 15. Visitor groups that had members with mobility or other physical conditions](image)

**Question 22b**
If YES, did anyone in your personal group have a physical condition that made it difficult to access or participate in park activities or services?

**Results – Interpret with CAUTION!**
- Not enough visitor groups responded to provide reliable results (see Figure 16).

![Figure 16. Visitor groups that had members with physical conditions affecting access to services or participation in park activities](image)
**Respondent level of education**

**Question 21**
For you only, what is the highest level of education you have completed?

**Results**
- 39% of respondents had a graduate degree (see Figure 17).
- 38% of respondents had a bachelor's degree.

**Figure 17.** Respondent level of education
Respondent household income

Question 25a
Which category best represents your annual household income?

Results
- 53% of respondents had an income between $50,000 and $149,999 (see Figure 18).

Figure 18. Respondent household level of income

Respondent household size

Question 25b
How many people are in your household?

Results
- 54% of respondents had two people in their household (see Figure 19).
- 19% of households consisted of one person.

Figure 19. Number of people in household
**Awareness of park programs**

**Question 2**
Prior to your visit, were you and your personal group aware of programs (ranger-led walks, canoe trips, presentations, school group tours, etc.) offered in Congaree NP?

**Results**
- 65% of visitor groups were aware of programs offered at the park (see Figure 20).

**Figure 20.** Visitor groups that were aware of programs in Congaree NP
**Park name change and decision to visit**

**Question 3a**
In 2003, Congaree Swamp National Monument became Congaree NP. Did this name change have any effect on your decision to visit?

**Results**
- 19% of respondents said their decision to visit was affected by the name change (see Figure 21).

**Question 3b**
If YES, what effect did it have? (Open-ended)

**Results**
- 66 respondents commented on the effect of the park's name change (see Table 9.)

![Figure 21. Respondents for whom the name change affected decision to visit](image)

**Table 9. Effect of name change on decision to visit**
(N=66 comments; some visitor groups made more than one comment.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Number of times mentioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visiting all national parks</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More interested in visiting a national park</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased pride/prestige</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National park more appealing</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word swamp is not appealing</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National park designation seems more important</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only visit national parks</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standards/services of a national park</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Always wanted to visit a national park</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appears on a national park map</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learned of the park because of the name change</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Like to visit national parks</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listed in guides to national parks</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National park seems wilder and more unique</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National park sounds better</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our 48th national park</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special to be a national park</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wanted to see a national park in our state</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wanted to visit a new national park</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Knowledge of congressionally designated wilderness

Question 4a
Prior to your visit, were you aware of what congressionally designated wilderness is?

Results
• 59% of respondents were aware of what congressionally designated wilderness is (see Figure 22).

Question 4b
If NO, did you and your personal group learn about congressionally designated wilderness during your visit?

Results
• 43% of visitor groups said they learned about congressionally designated wilderness at Congaree NP during their visit (see Figure 23).

Figure 22. Respondents who were aware of what congressionally designated wilderness is

Figure 23. Visitor groups that learned about congressionally designated wilderness at park

Park policy to remove non-native species

Question 5
The National Park Service has a policy to control or remove non-native plants and animals from within park boundaries. Non-native species occupy an area that is not part of their natural, historic range, and often originated from another continent or region. Many of these species are invasive and damage park resources. Were you aware of this policy prior to your visit to Congaree NP?

Results
• 50% of respondents were aware of the policy to remove non-native species (see Figure 24).

Figure 24. Respondents aware of park policy regarding non-native species
Support for policy to remove non-native species

Question 6
Would you and your personal group be supportive of the control and removal of non-native species at Congaree NP?

Results
- 86% of visitor groups were supportive of the removal of non-native plants (see Figure 25).
- 77% were supportive of the removal of non-native animals (see Figure 26).

![Figure 25. Visitor groups supporting the removal of non-native plants](image1)

![Figure 26. Visitor groups supporting the removal of non-native animals](image2)
**Awareness of research and education in the park**

**Question 15a**
Prior to this visit, were you and your personal group aware that Congaree NP is the home to the Old-Growth Bottomland Forest Research and Education Center, one of 21 centers nationwide?

**Results**
- 24% of visitor groups were aware of the Old-Growth Bottomland Forest Research and Education Center before their visit (see Figure 27).

**Question 15b**
Did you and your personal group notice any scientists, scientific markers, or scientific equipment at work while you were in the park?

**Results**
- 47% of visitor groups noticed scientists, scientific markers, or scientific equipment at work in the park (see Figure 28).

**Question 15c**
Did you and your personal group – through programs and products – learn about actual results of scientific studies at the park?

**Results**
- 26% of visitor groups learned about scientific results through programs and products while in the park (see Figure 29).

Figure 27. Visitor groups that were aware of the Old-Growth Bottomland Forest Research and Education Center before visit

Figure 28. Visitor groups that noticed scientists, scientific markers, or scientific equipment at work during this visit

Figure 29. Visitor groups that learned about current scientific results in the park
Trip/Visit Characteristics and Preferences

Information sources prior to visit

Question 1
Prior to your visit, how did you and your personal group obtain information about Congaree NP?

Results
• 94% of visitor groups obtained information about Congaree NP prior to their visit (see Figure 30).

• As shown in Figure 31, among those visitor groups that obtained information about Congaree NP prior to their visit, the most common sources were:
  
  56% Park website
  30% Friends/relatives/word of mouth
  29% Previous visits

• 9% of visitor groups used other websites to obtain information prior to visit (see Table 10).

• Other sources of information used prior to visit (5%) are shown in Table 11.

Figure 30. Visitor groups that obtained information prior to visit

Figure 31. Sources of information
### Table 10. “Other” websites used to obtain information prior to visit (N= 26 comments)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Number of times mentioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Google</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC State Parks</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tripadvisor.com</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birding websites</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>birdsong.org</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>congareeriver.org</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends of Congaree National Park website</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mapquest</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC Information Highway</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scgreateoutdoors.com</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sctrails.net</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>volunteer.gov</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>waterdata.usgs.gov</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wikipedia</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildlifesouth.com</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yahoo</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 11. “Other” sources of information used prior to visit (N= 13 comments)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Number of times mentioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National Geographic Guide to National Parks of US</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passport to your National Parks</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 Hikes in South Carolina</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artwork of nephew on display</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congaree Pottery</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends of Congaree Swamp</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ken Burns' National Parks</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Park Book</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Parks Companion app</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National parks iPhone app</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Park as destination**

**Question from on-site interview**
A two-minute interview was conducted with each individual selected to complete the questionnaire. During the interview, the question was asked: “How did this visit to Congaree NP fit into your personal group’s travel plans?”

**Results**
- 75% of visitor groups indicated that the park was their primary destination (see Figure 32).
- 21% said the park was one of several destinations.

![Figure 32. How visit to park fit into visitor groups' travel plans](image-url)
Alternate recreation site

Question 24a
On this trip, if you and your personal group had not chosen to visit Congaree NP, what other recreation site would you have visited instead? (Open-ended)

Results
• 58% of visitor groups (N=197) responded to this question.
• Table 12 lists the places that visitor groups indicated as potential alternate sites they would have visited instead of Congaree NP.

Table 12. Alternate recreation sites (N=220 comments; some visitor groups listed more than one site)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Number of times mentioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbison State Park/Forest</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsure</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverbanks Zoo</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Murray</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peachtree Rock Preserve</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina State Museum</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State park</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charleston, SC</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poinsett State Park</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sesquicentennial State Park</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoo</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Came specifically for Congaree Museum</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Museum</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Places to hike</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoky Mountains</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Another state or national park</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowpens</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crowders Mountain State Park</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Sumter</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pisgah National Forest</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverwalk</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saluda River</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other locations</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question 24b
How far is this alternative site from your home?

Results
• 52% of the visitor groups indicated that they would travel up to 50 miles from their home to visit the alternate site (see Figure 33).
• 28% would travel 201 or more miles.

Figure 33. Number of miles to alternate recreation site

Primary reason for visiting the park area
Question 7
On this trip, what was the primary reason that you and your personal group came to the Congaree NP area (within 1-hour drive of the park)?

Results
- 28% of visitor groups were residents of the area (see Figure 34).
- As shown in Figure 35, the most common primary reasons for visiting the area (within a 1-hour drive of the park) among nonresident visitor groups were:
  - 65% Visit the park
  - 12% Visit friends/relatives in the area
- Other primary reasons (8%) were:
  - Camping
  - Conference
  - Enjoy nature with family/friends
  - Exercise
  - Family fun
  - Hiking
  - Mountain biking
  - Overnight canoe trip
  - Photography
  - School
  - See nephew's artwork
  - Stress release
  - Travel through - planned visit

Figure 34. Residents of the area (within a 1-hour drive of the park)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visit park</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit friends/relatives</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traveling through - unplanned visit</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit other attractions</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 35. Primary reason for visiting the park area (within a 1-hour drive of the park)
**Number of vehicles**

**Question 12**
On this visit, how many vehicles did you and your personal group use to arrive at the park?

**Results**
- 92% of visitor groups used one vehicle to arrive at the park (see Figure 36).

![Figure 36. Number of vehicles used to arrive at the park](image)

**Overnight stays**

**Question 9a**
On this trip, did you and your personal group stay overnight away from your permanent residence either inside Congaree NP or within the nearby area (within 1-hour drive of the park)?

**Results**
- 38% of visitor groups stayed overnight away from home either in the park or within a 1-hour drive of the park (see Figure 37).

![Figure 37. Visitor groups that stayed overnight in the park or within a 1-hour drive of the park](image)

**Question 9b**
If YES, how many nights did you and your personal group spend inside the park?

**Results**
- 52% of visitor groups spent one night in the park (see Figure 38).

![Figure 38. Number of nights spent inside the park](image)
Question 9c
If YES, how many nights did you and your personal group spend outside the park within a 1-hour drive?

Results
• 49% of visitor groups stayed one night outside the park within a 1-hour drive of the park (see Figure 39).
• 35% stayed two or three nights.

Figure 39. Number of nights spent in the area outside the park (within a 1-hour drive of the park).

Accommodations used inside the park

Question 9b
In which types of accommodations did you and your personal group spend the nights inside the park?

Results
• As shown in Figure 40, the most common types of accommodations used by visitor groups were:
  48% Tent camping
  27% Backcountry camping

• Table 13 shows the number of nights spent in accommodations inside the park.

Figure 40. Accommodations used inside the park

Table 13. Number of nights spent in accommodations inside the park (N=number of visitor groups)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accommodation</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4 or more</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RV/trailer camping – CAUTION!</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tent camping - CAUTION!</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backcountry camping - CAUTION!</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Accommodations used outside the park

**Question 9c**
In which types of accommodations did you and your personal group spend the nights outside park within a 1-hour drive?

**Results**
- 82% of visitor groups stayed overnight in a lodge, hotel, motel, cabin, rented condo/home, or bed & breakfast (see Figure 41).
- Table 14 shows the number of nights spent in accommodations outside the park within a 1-hour drive of the park.

![Figure 41. Accommodations used outside the park within a 1-hour drive](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accommodation</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4 or more</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lodge, hotel, motel, cabin, rented condo/home, or bed &amp; breakfast</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV/trailer camping – <strong>CAUTION!</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tent camping</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backcountry camping</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal seasonal residence</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence of friends or relatives – <strong>CAUTION!</strong></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Some visitor groups indicated they used an accommodation without specifying the number of nights; therefore, the N in Figure 41 and in Table 12 is different.*
**Length of stay in the park**

**Question 13b**
On this visit, how long did you and your personal group spend visiting Congaree NP?

**Results**

**Number of hours if less than 24**
- 50% spent 3-4 hours in the park (see Figure 42).
- 24% of visitor groups 1-2 hours.
- The average length of stay for visitor groups that spent less than 24 hours was 3.8 hours.

**Number of days if 24 hours or more**
- Interpret with **CAUTION!** Not enough visitor groups responded to provide reliable results (see Figure 43).
- The average length of stay for visitor groups that spent more than 24 hours was 2.3 days.

**Average length of stay for all visitors**
- The average length of stay in the park for all visitor groups was 8.8 hours or 0.4 days.

---

**Figure 42.** Number of hours spent in the park

**Figure 43.** Number of days spent in the park
**Length of stay in the park area**

**Question 13a**
How long did you and your personal group stay in the Congaree NP area (within 1-hour drive of the park)?

**Results**
- 29% of visitor groups were residents of the area within a 1-hour drive of the park (see Figure 44).

**Number of hours if less than 24**
- 39% of visitor groups spent 3-4 hours in the park area (see Figure 45).
- 28% spent 1-2 hours.
- 17% spent 5-6 hours.
- The average length of stay in the area for visitor groups who spent less than 24 hours was 4.7 hours.

**Number of days if 24 hours or more**
- 60% of visitor groups spent 1-2 days in the park area (see Figure 46).
- 33% spent 3-4 days.
- The average length of stay for visitor groups that spent 24 hours or more was 3.4 days.

**Average length of stay for all visitors**
- The average length of stay for all visitor groups was 31.4 hours, or 1.3 days.
Sites visited in the Congaree NP area

Question 8
On this visit, which sites did you and your personal group visit in the Congaree NP area (within 1-hour drive of the park)?

- As shown in Figure 47, the sites most commonly visited in the Congaree NP area were:
  - 26% The State Capitol
  - 23% Riverbanks Zoo
  - 21% University of South Carolina

- The least visited site was:
  - 1% National Advocacy Center

- "Other" sites (21%) visited are shown in Table 15.

![Bar chart showing the number of respondents visiting different sites](chart.png)

Figure 47. Sites visited in the park area (within a 1-hour drive of the park)
Table 15. “Other” sites visited in the park area (N=21 comments)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Number of times mentioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Columbia, SC</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina Philharmonic</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beidler Audubon Preserve</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boardwalk trail</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carolina Sandhills Wildlife</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedar Creek</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charleston, SC</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown historic homes</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edisto Memorial Gardens</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographic center of SC</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverbanks Botanical Gardens</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivers Bridge State Historic Site</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Matthews</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santee</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santee Wildlife Refuge</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shopping, job fairs</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State fairgrounds garage sale</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Vista</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Activities within the park**

**Question 11**
On this visit, in which activities did you and your personal group participate within Congaree NP?

**Results**
- As shown in Figure 48, the most common activities in which visitor groups participated were:
  - 85% Walking/hiking
  - 71% Visiting the visitor center
  - 25% Birdwatching
  - 14% Nature study (other than birdwatching)
  - 12% Picnicking
- “Other” activities (8%) were:
  - Bible study
  - Guided tour with John Cely
  - Junior Ranger program
  - Met with park ranger for school project
  - Passport stamp
  - Photography
  - Reading maps charts
  - Self-guided tour
  - Watched film on park
  - Watched video at visitor center

**Figure 48.** Activities on this visit
Use of park trails

**Question 10a**
On this visit to Congaree NP, did you and your personal group walk/canoe/kayak any park trails?

Results
- 96% of visitor groups used a trail in Congaree NP (see Figure 49).

**Question 10b**
If YES, which of the following trails did you and your personal group walk/canoe/kayak on this visit?

Results
- As shown in Figure 50, of those visitor groups that used park trails, the most commonly used trails were:
  - 79% Elevated Boardwalk Trail
  - 70% Low Boardwalk Trail
  - 41% Weston Lake Loop Trail
- The least used trail was the Kingsnake Trail (5%).
- Other trails (2%) were:
  - All trails around visitor center
  - Big Tree guided walk
  - Big Tree Hill
  - Dog trail cutoff to visitor center
  - Dogwalk trail
  - Looped down to river

---

Figure 49. Visitor groups that used park trails

Figure 50. Trails used in Congaree NP
Ratings of Services, Facilities, Attributes, Resources, and Elements

Information services and facilities used

Question 16a
Please indicate all of the information services and facilities that you or your personal group used at Congaree NP during this visit.

Results
• As shown in Figure 51, the most common information services and facilities used by visitor groups were:
  90% Park brochure/map
  83% Assistance from park staff
  75% Visitor center exhibits
• The least used service/facility was ranger-guided canoe tours (2%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service/facility</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Park brochure/map</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistance from park staff</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitor center exhibits</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park interpretive pamphlets</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park website</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulletin boards</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitor center bookstore sales</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistance from park volunteers</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitor center videos/films/movies</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park newspaper Boardwalk Talk</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranger-led talks/programs/walks</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior Ranger program</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranger-guided canoe tours</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 51. Information services and facilities used
Importance ratings of information services and facilities

Question 16b
For only those services and facilities that you or your personal group used, please rate their importance to your visit from 1-5.

1=Not important
2=Somewhat important
3=Moderately important
4=Very important
5=Extremely important

Results
• Figure 52 shows the combined proportions of "extremely important" and "very important" ratings of information services and facilities that were rated by 30 or more visitor groups.

• The services and facilities receiving the highest combined proportions of "extremely important" and "very important" ratings were:

90% Park brochure/map
89% Park interpretive pamphlets
86% Park website

• Table 16 shows the importance ratings of each service and facility.

• The service/facility receiving the highest "not important" rating that was rated by 30 or more visitor groups was:

3% Park newspaper

Boardwalk Talk

Figure 52. Combined proportions of "extremely important" and "very important" ratings of information services and facilities
Table 16. Importance ratings of information services and facilities (N=number of visitor groups)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service/facility</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Not important</th>
<th>Somewhat important</th>
<th>Moderately important</th>
<th>Very important</th>
<th>Extremely important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assistance from park staff</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistance from park volunteers</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulletin boards</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior Ranger program – CAUTION!</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park brochure/map</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park interpretive pamphlets</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park newspaper Boardwalk Talk</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park website (nps.gov/cong)</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranger-led talks/programs/walks – CAUTION!</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranger-guided canoe tours – CAUTION!</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitor center bookstore sales items</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitor center videos/films/movies</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitor center exhibits</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Quality ratings of information services and facilities

Question 16c
For only those services and facilities that you or your personal group used, please rate their quality from 1-5.

1=Very poor  
2=Poor  
3=Average  
4=Good  
5=Very good

Results
• Figure 53 shows the combined proportions of “very good” and “good” ratings of information services and facilities that were rated by 30 or more visitor groups.

• The services and facilities receiving the highest combined proportions of “very good” and “good” ratings were:

97% Assistance from park staff  
94% Assistance from park volunteers  
90% Park interpretive pamphlets

• Table 17 shows the quality ratings of each service and facility.

• The services/facilities receiving the highest “not important” rating that was rated by 30 or more visitor groups were:

1% Park website  
1% Visitor center videos/films/movies

Figure 53. Combined proportions of “very good” and “good” ratings of information services and facilities
Table 17. Quality ratings of information services and facilities
(N=number of visitor groups that rated each service and facility)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service/facility</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Rating (%)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Very Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistance from park staff</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistance from park volunteers</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulletin boards</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior Ranger program – CAUTION!</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park brochure/map</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park interpretive pamphlets</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park newspaper Boardwalk Talk</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park website (nps.gov/cong)</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranger-led talks/programs/walks – CAUTION!</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranger-guided canoe tours – CAUTION!</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitor center bookstore sales items</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitor center videos/films/movies</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitor center exhibits</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mean scores of importance and quality ratings of information services and facilities

- Figures 54 and 55 show the mean scores of importance and quality ratings of information and facilities that were rated by 30 or more visitor groups.

- All information services and facilities were rated above average.

Figure 54. Mean scores of importance and quality ratings of information services and facilities

Figure 55. Detail of Figure 54
Visitor services and facilities used

Question 17a
Please indicate all of the visitor services and facilities that you or your personal group used at Congaree NP during this visit.

Results
• As shown in Figure 56, the most common visitor services and facilities used by visitor groups were:
  - 89% Boardwalks
  - 88% Restrooms
  - 85% Parking areas

• The least used service/facility was:
  - 3% Backcountry camping

Figure 56. Visitor services and facilities used
**Importance ratings of visitor services and facilities**

**Question 17b**
For only those services and facilities that you or your personal group used, please rate their importance to your visit from 1-5.

1=Not important
2=Somewhat important
3=Moderately important
4=Very important
5=Extremely important

**Results**
- Figure 57 shows the combined proportions of “extremely important” and “very important” ratings of visitor services and facilities that were rated by 30 or more visitor groups.

- The visitor services and facilities receiving the highest combined proportions of “extremely important” and “very important” ratings were:
  - 97% Trails
  - 90% Park directional signs
  - 87% Directional signs outside park
  - 87% Boardwalks

- Table 18 shows the importance ratings of each service and facility.

- The service/facility receiving the highest “not important” ratings that was rated by 30 or more visitor groups was:
  - 1% Directional signs outside of park

![Figure 57](image-url)
Table 18. Importance ratings of visitor services and facilities (N=number of visitor groups)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service/facility</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Rating (%)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access for people with disabilities – CAUTION!</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backcountry camping – CAUTION!</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boardwalks</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campgrounds – CAUTION!</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canoe launches – CAUTION!</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directional signs outside of park</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park directional signs</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking areas</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picnic areas – CAUTION!</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restrooms</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trails</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Quality ratings of visitor services and facilities

**Question 17c**
For only those services and facilities that you or your personal group used, please rate their quality from 1-5.

1=Very poor  
2=Poor  
3=Average  
4=Good  
5=Very good

**Results**
- Figure 58 shows the combined proportions of “very good” and “good” ratings of visitor services and facilities that were rated by 30 or more visitor groups.
- The services and facilities receiving the highest combined proportions of “very good” and “good” ratings were:
  - 97% Boardwalks
  - 95% Trails
  - 94% Restrooms

- Table 19 shows the quality ratings of each service and facility.
- The service/facility receiving the highest “very poor” rating that was rated by 30 or more visitor groups was:
  - 2% Directional signs outside of park

**Figure 58.** Combined proportions of “very good” and “good” ratings of visitor services and facilities
Table 19. Quality ratings of visitor services and facilities
(N=number of visitor groups that rated each service and facility)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service/facility</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Very poor</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Very good</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access for people with disabilities – CAUTION!</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backcountry camping – CAUTION!</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boardwalks</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campgrounds – CAUTION!</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canoe launches – CAUTION!</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directional signs outside of park</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park directional signs</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking areas</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picnic areas – CAUTION!</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restrooms</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trails</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mean scores of importance and quality ratings of visitor services and facilities

- Figures 59 and 60 show the mean scores of importance and quality ratings of visitor services and facilities that were rated by 30 or more visitor groups.

- All visitor services and facilities were rated above average.

Figure 59. Mean scores of importance and quality of visitor services and facilities

Figure 60. Detail of Figure 59
**Importance of protecting park resources and attributes**

**Question 14**

It is the National Park Service’s responsibility to protect Congaree NP natural, scenic, and cultural resources while at the same time providing for public enjoyment. How important is protection of the following resources/attributes in the park to you and your personal group?

1=Not important  
2=Somewhat important  
3=Moderately important  
4=Very important  
5=Extremely important

**Results**

- As shown in Figure 61, the highest combined proportions of “extremely important” and “very important” ratings of protecting park resources and attributes included:
  - 94% Natural quiet/sounds of nature  
  - 94% Clean air  
  - 93% Clean water

- Table 20 shows the importance ratings of each resource/attribute

- The resource/attribute receiving the highest “not important” rating was:
  - 7% clear night sky (star gazing)

*Figure 61. Combined proportions of "extremely important" and "very important" ratings of protecting park resources and attributes*
### Table 20. Importance of protecting park resources and attributes
(N=number of visitor groups that rated each resource/attribute)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource/attribute</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Not important</th>
<th>Somewhat important</th>
<th>Moderately important</th>
<th>Very important</th>
<th>Extremely important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clean air (visibility)</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean water</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear night sky (star gazing)</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural history (photographs/artifacts/ oral histories)</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designated wilderness/ backcountry</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational opportunities</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic buildings/ archeological sites</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native plants</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native wildlife</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural quiet/sounds of nature</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking availability</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreational opportunities</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenic views</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solitude</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Elements that affected park experience**

**Question 29**
Please indicate how the following elements may have affected you and your personal group’s park experience during this visit to Congaree NP?

**Results**
- Table 21 shows that the element that detracted from the greatest number of visitor groups’ experience was airplane noise (42%).
- The element that added the most to visitor experiences was encountering small numbers of visitors on the trail (39%).
- “Other” elements that added to and detracted from visitor groups’ experience are shown in Tables 22 and 23.

**Table 21. Effects of different elements on the park experience**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Total N</th>
<th>n₁</th>
<th>Detracted from</th>
<th>No effect</th>
<th>Added to</th>
<th>Did not experience n₂</th>
<th>% of total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airplane noise</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automobile noise</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunshots from neighboring lands</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise from park staff activities</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train noise</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other visitors’ activities</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small number of visitors on trails</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large number of visitors on trails</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small number of visitors canoeing/kayaking</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large number of visitors canoeing/kayaking</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact of wild pigs</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 22. “Other” elements that added to visitor groups’ experiences
(N= 14 comments; some visitor groups made more than one comment)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Number of times mentioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sounds</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beautiful surroundings</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bird noise</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bird sightings</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friendly staff</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owls</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park staff/volunteers</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smell of woods</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snake sightings</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warm weather</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild pig sightings</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodpecker</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 23. “Other” elements that detracted from visitor groups’ experiences
(N= 16 comments; some visitor groups made more than one comment)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Number of times mentioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visitors with dogs</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campground facilities</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard time finding trails and directions at times</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of canoe program</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litter</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not enough staff</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not enough wild pigs</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outhouses at campground</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor signage</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rain</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slippery mud</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unable to use boardwalk - too hot to leave dogs in car</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unexpected water on Oakridge Trail</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Expenditures

Total expenditures inside and outside the park

Question 26
For you and your personal group, please estimate all expenditures for the items listed below for this visit to Congaree NP and the surrounding area (within 1-hour drive of the park).

Results
• 65% of visitor groups spent $1-$200 (see Figure 62).
• 12% spent $201-$400.
• The average visitor group expenditure was $153.
• The median group expenditure (50% of groups spent more and 50% of groups spent less) was $55.
• The average total expenditure per person (per capita) was $74.
• As shown in Figure 63, the largest proportions of total expenditures inside and outside the park were:
  - 30% Lodges, hotels, motels, cabins, B&B, etc.
  - 22% Gas and oil
  - 22% Restaurants and bars

Figure 62. Total expenditures inside and outside the park

Figure 63. Proportions of total expenditures inside and outside the park
Number of adults covered by expenditures

**Question 26c**
How many adults (18 years or older) do these expenses cover?

**Results**
- 64% of visitor groups had two adults covered by expenditures (see Figure 64).
- 18% had one adult covered by expenditures.

![Figure 64. Number of adults covered by expenditures](image)

Number of children covered by expenditures

**Question 26c**
How many children (under 18 years) do these expenses cover?

**Results**
- 85% of visitor groups had no children covered by expenditures (see Figure 65).

![Figure 65. Number of children covered by expenditures](image)
Expenditures inside the park

Question 26a
Please list your personal group’s total expenditures inside Congaree NP.

Results
• 45% of visitor groups spent no money inside the park (see Figure 66).

• 37% spent $1-$25

• The average visitor group expenditure inside the park was $13.

• The median group expenditure (50% groups spent more and 50% of groups spent less) was $3.

• The average total expenditure per person (per capita) was $11.

• As shown in Figure 67, the largest proportion of total expenditures inside the park was:

  76% All other purchases

Figure 66. Total expenditures inside the park

Figure 67. Proportions of total expenditures inside the park
All other purchases (souvenirs, film, books, sporting goods, clothing, etc.)

- 45% of visitor groups spent no money on other purchases inside the park (see Figure 68).
- 38% spent $1-$25.
- 16% spent $26-$50.

Donations

- 80% of visitor groups spent no money on donations inside the park (see Figure 69).
- 15% spent $1-$10.

![Figure 68. Expenditures for all other purchases inside the park](image1)

![Figure 69. Expenditures for donations inside the park](image2)
Expenditures outside the park

Question 26b
Please list your personal group’s total expenditures in the surrounding area outside the park (within 1-hour drive of park).

Results
- 63% of visitor groups spent $1-$200 in the surrounding area outside the park (see Figure 70).
- 14% spent no money.
- The average visitor group expenditure outside the park was $150.
- The median group expenditure (50% groups spent more and 50% of groups spent less) was $50.
- The average total expenditure per person (per capita) was $79.
- As shown in Figure 71, the largest proportions of total expenditures outside the park were:
  - 31% Lodges, hotels, motels, cabins, B&B, etc.
  - 23% Gas and oil
  - 23% Restaurants and bars

Figure 70. Total expenditures outside the park within a 1-hour drive

![Graph showing total expenditures outside the park](image)

Figure 71. Proportions of total expenditures outside the park within a 1-hour drive

![Pie chart showing proportions of total expenditures outside the park](image)
Lodges, hotels, motels, cabins, B&B, etc.

- 66% of visitor groups spent no money on lodging outside the park (see Figure 72).
- 14% spent $1-$100.

Camping fees and charges

- 98% of visitor groups spent no money on camping fees and charges outside the park (see Figure 73).
- 2% spent $1-$50.

Figure 72. Expenditures for lodging outside the park

Figure 73. Expenditures for camping fees and charges outside the park
Canoe/kayak rental charges

- 98% of visitor groups spent no money on canoe/kayak rental charges outside the park (see Figure 74).

Guide fees and charges

- 100% of visitor groups spent no money on guide fees and charges outside the park (see Figure 75).

Restaurants and bars

- 44% of visitor groups spent no money on restaurants and bars outside the park (see Figure 76).
- 37% spent $1-$50.

Figure 74. Expenditures for canoe/kayak rental charges outside the park

Figure 75. Expenditures for guide fees and charges outside the park

Figure 76. Expenditures for restaurants and bars outside the park
Groceries and takeout food

- 56% of visitor groups spent no money on groceries and takeout food outside the park (see Figure 77).
- 35% spent $1-$50.

Gas and oil (auto, RV, boat, etc.)

- 60% of visitor groups spent $1-$50 on gas and oil outside the park (see Figure 78).
- 18% spent $51-$100.
- 18% spent no money.
Other transportation (rental cars, taxis, auto repairs, but NOT airfare)

- 92% of visitor groups spent no money on other transportation outside the park (see Figure 79).
- 5% spent $51 or more.

![Figure 79. Expenditures for other transportation outside the park](image)

Admission, recreation and entertainment fees

- 90% of visitor groups spent no money on admission, recreation and entertainment fees outside the park (see Figure 80).

![Figure 80. Expenditures for admission, recreation, and entertainment fees outside the park](image)
All other purchases (souvenirs, film, books, sporting goods, clothing, etc.)

- 76% of visitor groups spent no money on all other purchases outside the park (see Figure 81).
- 17% spent $1-$50.

Donations

- 92% of visitor groups spent no money on donations outside the park (see Figure 82).

Unpaid vacation/unpaid time off

**Question 25c**
Did your household take any unpaid vacation or take unpaid time off of work to come on this trip?

**Results**
- 12% of respondents took unpaid vacation or unpaid time off work to come on this trip (see Figure 83).
Preferences for Future Visits

**Likelihood of future visit**

**Question 28**
Would you and your group be likely to visit Congaree NP again in the future?

**Results**
- 81% of visitor groups indicated that they would be likely to visit Congaree NP again in the future (see Figure 84).
- 17% were not sure about visiting the park in the future.

![Figure 84](image)

**Figure 84.** Visitor groups that would likely visit Congaree NP in the future.
**Preferred activities and programs on future visits**

**Question 30**
If you were to visit Congaree NP in the future, which types of organized activities and programs would you and your personal group like to have available?

**Results**
- 84% of visitor groups were interested in attending organized activities or programs on a future visit to the park (see Figure 85).
- As shown in Figure 86, of those visitor groups that wanted organized activities/programs, the most preferred were:
  - 68% Canoeing/kayaking
  - 57% Owl prowls
  - 57% Night walk/night sky program
- “Other” activities/programs (7%) were:
  - Biking trails
  - Citizen science
  - Dog-friendly walk
  - Firefly walks
  - Canoe/kayak rentals
  - Guided hikes off-trail when snakes are not active
  - Hiking
  - Include leashed dogs
  - More technical exhibits in visitor center
  - Narrated boat tour
  - Research lectures
  - Technical presentations
  - Trails open to mountain biking
  - Tree and plant identification
  - Tree top tour
  - Video about the park and region

**Figure 85.** Visitor groups interested in activities and programs

**Figure 86.** Preferred activities and programs
Preferred topics to learn on future visits

Question 31
If you were to visit Congaree NP in the future, which subjects would you and your personal group like to learn about?

Results
- 93% of visitor groups were interested in learning about the park on future visits (see Figure 87).
- As shown in Figure 88, of those visitor groups that were interested in learning about the park, the most common subjects were:
  - 74% Plants/animals
  - 61% Champion trees
  - 61% Old growth floodplain forest
- No “other” subjects (1%) were specified.

Figure 87. Visitor groups that were interested in learning about the park

Figure 88. Subjects to learn on a future visit
Overall Quality

Quality of facilities, services, and recreational opportunities

Question 27
Overall, how would you rate the quality of facilities, services, and recreational opportunities provided to you and your personal group at Congaree NP during this visit?

Results
• 97% of visitor groups rated the overall quality of facilities, services, and recreational opportunities as “very good” or “good” (see Figure 89).
• 1% rated the quality as “poor.”
• No visitor groups rated the quality as “very poor.”

Figure 89. Overall quality rating of facilities, services, and recreational opportunities
Visitor Comment Summaries

What visitors liked most

Question 32a
What did you and your personal group like most about your visit to Congaree NP? (Open-ended)

Results
• 91% of visitor groups (N=310) responded to this question.
• Table 24 shows a summary of visitor comments. The transcribed open-ended comments can be found in the Visitor Comments section.

Table 24. What visitors liked most
(N=597 comments; some visitor groups made more than one comment)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Number of times mentioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PERSONNEL (7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friendliness/helpfulness of park staff/volunteers</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park staff</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledgeable staff/volunteers</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talking with staff and volunteers</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding interactions with staff</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comment</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERPRETIVE SERVICES (7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brochures</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-guided tours</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guided tours</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail markers</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Film</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitor center</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canoe trip</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior Ranger program</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maps</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACILITIES/MAINTENANCE (19%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boardwalk</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trails</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleanliness</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-marked trails</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boardwalks were well-maintained</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridges</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 24. What visitors liked most (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Number of times mentioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>POLICY MANAGEMENT (4%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not crowded</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilderness</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free camping</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (22%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trees</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big trees</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birds</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old growth forest</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cypress/cypress knees</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floodplain</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Champion trees</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swamps</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean/fresh air</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodpeckers</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversity</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flora</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loblolly pines</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild pigs</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plants</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GENERAL (42%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quiet</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solitude</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peace</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hiking</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beauty</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canoeing/kayaking</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No bugs</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quiet hike</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camping</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoors</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenery</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique place</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beautiful day</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bird sounds</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interesting park</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 24. What visitors liked most (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Number of times mentioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atmosphere</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beautiful scenery</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beauty of the forest</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedar Creek canoe/kayak trip</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everything</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peaceful hike</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serenity</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sounds of nature</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### What visitors liked least

**Question 32b**
What did you and your personal group like least about your visit to Congaree NP? (Open-ended)

**Results**
- 61% of visitor groups (N=207) responded to this question.
- Table 25 shows a summary of visitor comments. The transcribed open-ended comments can be found in the Visitor Comments section.

#### Table 25. What visitors liked least
(N=229 comments; some visitor groups made more than one comment)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Number of times mentioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **PERSONNEL (1%)**
Comments                           | 2                         |
| **INTERPRETIVE SERVICES (10%)**
Trail signage                      | 6                         |
Shortage of canoe tours            | 4                         |
Lack of detailed information and education | 3                     |
Other comments                      | 11                        |
| **FACILITIES/MAINTENANCE (17%)**
Litter                             | 7                         |
Lack of drinking water             | 5                         |
Lack of parking                     | 3                         |
Need bathhouse at campground        | 3                         |
Not enough benches                  | 3                         |
Trail signage                       | 3                         |
Campgrounds need upgrading          | 2                         |
More trails                         | 2                         |
Other comments                      | 12                        |
| **POLICY/MANAGEMENT (18%)**
Signage to the park                | 7                         |
Airplane/train noise               | 6                         |
Dogs not allowed                   | 5                         |
Disruptive children                | 4                         |
Dogs in the park                    | 4                         |
Unable to kayak or canoe            | 2                         |
Other comments                      | 14                        |
| **RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (10%)**
Did not see much wildlife           | 5                         |
Impact of wild pigs                 | 5                         |
Dead trees                          | 2                         |
Did not see many plants blooming   | 2                         |
Other comments                      | 10                        |
Table 25. What visitors liked least (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Number of times mentioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GENERAL (42%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothing to dislike</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liked it all</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not enough time</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rain</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosquitos</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold/cool weather</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great experience</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitor survey</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of restaurants close to park</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Significance of the park

Question 33
Congaree NP was established because of its significance to the nation. In your opinion, what is the national significance of this park? (Open-ended)

Results
- 85% of visitor groups (N=289) responded to this question.
- Table 26 shows a summary of visitor comments. The transcribed comments can be found in the Visitor Comments section.

Table 26. Significance of the park
(N=401 comments; some visitor groups made more than one comment)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Number of times mentioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Old growth forest</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique environment</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example of old growth forest</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preserve/protect old growth forest</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big trees</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swamp</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floodplain</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of area</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trees</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old growth floodplain forest</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preserve/protect wilderness</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cypress trees/knees</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural habitat</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservation for historical purposes</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preserve/protect ecosystem</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preserve/protect for future</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique/important ecosystem</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beauty</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Important</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old growth trees</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservation of old growth floodplain forest</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Champion trees</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservation</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know/unsure</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure to unique area</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last stand of old growth forest</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural setting</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservation of nature</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservation of unique/rare environment</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetland environment</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility of old growth floodplain forest</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biosphere</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last stand of old growth bottomland forest</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservation</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 26. Significance of the park (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Number of times mentioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preservation of habitat</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preserve/protect trees</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preserve/protect wildlife</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trees</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique habitat important for wildlife</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrates human impact</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrates importance of nature</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecosystem</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest preservation/protection</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of park</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural heritage</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural resources</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oldest old growth forest</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plants</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservation of old growth trees</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quiet</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of the forest</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very significant</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilderness area</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Planning for the future

Question 34
If you were a manager planning for the future of Congaree NP, what would you and personal group propose? (Open-ended)

Results
• 62% of visitor groups (N=212) responded to this question.
• Table 27 shows a summary of visitor comments. The transcribed comments can be found in the Visitor Comments section.

Table 27. Planning for the future
(N=295 comments; some visitor groups made more than one comment)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Number of times mentioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PERSONNEL (3%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase staff and volunteers</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INTERPRETIVE SERVICES (22%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expand educational opportunities</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase activities/recreation opportunities</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educate public about value of park and its resources</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve/update website</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve/update park video</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More history</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More information on plant and animal life</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More programs</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More ranger-led tours</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canopy tour</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve interpretive signs on boardwalk</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FACILITIES/MAINTENANCE (26%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expand trail system</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve/expand campground facilities</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More boardwalks</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve/add trail signs/maps</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More interpretive signs along the boardwalk</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add RV campground</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike trails</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better signage</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boardwalk maintenance</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mileage on trail signs</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More signage</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water at the camping areas</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Number of times mentioned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>POLICY/MANAGEMENT (34%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publicize park/activities</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expand park area</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue to protect park/environment</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expand/improve kayak/canoeing activities</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add food/lodging</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve directional signage to the park</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep it as natural as possible</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add another entrance</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue balancing recreation with resource protection</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinate with nearby resources</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not over develop</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit plane/train noise</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain/increase funding</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More participation/outreach in local communities</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (3%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliminate wild pigs</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue exotic species work</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GENERAL (10%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep it as it is</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep up the good work</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everything was great</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Additional comments**

**Question 35**  
Is there anything else you and your personal group would like to tell us about your visit to Congaree NP (Open-ended)

**Results**  
- 54% of visitor groups (N=184) responded to this question.
- Table 28 shows a summary of visitor comments. The transcribed comments can be found in the Visitor Comments section.

**Table 28. Additional comments**  
(N=259 comments; some visitor groups made more than one comment.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Number of times mentioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PERSONNEL (23%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park staff was helpful</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff was great/excellent</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park staff was knowledgeable</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park staff was friendly/enthusiastic</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INTERPRETIVE SERVICES (7%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve information on exhibits</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FACILITIES/MAINTENANCE (12%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enjoyed boardwalk</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great facilities</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve accessibility of boardwalk maps, add mileage</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve signage on trails</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very clean restrooms</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>POLICY/MANAGEMENT (5%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More advertising</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leave it alone</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (1%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 28. Additional comments (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GENERAL (52%)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Great experience</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enjoyed visit</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will return</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Love the park</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thank you</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great park/place</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beautiful park</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep up the good work</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It was a lot of fun</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Love the mosquito meter</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interesting and unique park</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Love the national parks</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Love the swamp</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peaceful place</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Visitor Comments

This section contains visitor responses to open-ended questions.

Q32a. What did you and your personal group like most about your visit to Congaree NP?

- A chance to walk through old growth floodplain forest
- A perfect Sunday afternoon walk
- All of it was great, enjoyed it very much
- An interesting and different national park
- Animal life
- Areas of unaltered natural wilderness
- Atmosphere and hiking trails
- Beautiful and peaceful, welcoming rangers
- Beautiful day, fresh air, quiet
- Beautiful park, sound of woodpeckers, solitude, scenery, park staff
- Beautiful scenery
- Beautiful scenery
- Beautiful scenery and boardwalk
- Beautiful tall trees
- Beautiful weather, wonderful canoe trip
- Beauty of park
- Beauty of trees in park
- Being able to walk trails, friendliness/helpfulness of park staff
- Being outdoors with a nice trail and self-guided activities
- Being outdoors, trees, no mosquitos
- Being with family in nature
- Big trees, knees
- Birds, fauna, flora
- Birds, trees
- Boardwalk
- Boardwalk
- Boardwalk
- Boardwalk
- Boardwalk
- Boardwalk
- Boardwalk
- Boardwalk allowed us to get into the swamp and the self-guided boardwalk numbers/items were fascinating
- Boardwalk hikes
- Boardwalk signage
- Boardwalk trail
- Boardwalk trails self-guided tours
- Boardwalk, accompanied by educational map/trail markers
- Boardwalk, brochure, solitude
- Boardwalk, quiet, trees/nature
- Boardwalk, quietness, center
- Boardwalk, talk with ranger and volunteer
- Boardwalk, trails
- Boardwalk, trees
- Boardwalks
- Camping
  - Canoe trail, staff at visitor center, and nice beach to camp on Congaree River
- Canoe trip and hiking
- Canoeing
  - Canoeing Cedar Creek
  - Cedar Creek kayak trip
- Champion trees, beauty of nature, lack of litter (trash), clean air
- Champion trees, natural environment, being able to see this wonderful old growth forest and learn about it
- Chance for pleasant walking
- Clean
  - Clean environment, not crowded, nice trails, clean facility
  - Clean, well maintained, friendly staff, great information, clean restrooms
- Convenience, quality of park
- Despite really tall trees, we saw quite a few birds
- Displays
- Diversity in the wilderness
- Diversity of forest
- Ease of walking in the woods; we got the experience of being in thick forest with easy walking
- Ease to get around. Small groups of people. Trail markers on trees.
- Easy access, boardwalk, trail hike and film
- Education of youth in old growth, etc.
- Everything
- Exercise, solitude, nature
- Experiencing such a unique place
- Exploring nature while hiking/walking
- Floodplain forest
- Forest, peaceful, beauty
- Free camping, night hiking
- Freedom to explore/walk to observe and enjoy nature
- Friendliness of ranger (Mr. Greeter) and meeting other interesting people. Beautiful weather. Educational.
- Friendly staff
- Friendly staff and volunteers
- Friendly staff and volunteers in visitor center and on walks
- Golden Crowned Kinglet, red-headed woodpecker, birds in general, swamp
- Good condition - all trails are open
- Good experience, staff informative, easy to hike boardwalk
○ Good hiking trails
○ Good kayaking and fee free
○ Good trails, solitude
○ Gorgeous swamp - beautiful day; trees/forests awesome
○ Great bird watching, wonderful talk by volunteer
○ Great park
○ Great ranger-led canoe trip
○ Guided tour
○ Having access, via the boardwalk, to wet areas I would not have been able to see.
○ Helpfulness of staff
○ Hiking and canoeing
○ Hiking the boardwalks
○ Hiking trails and large trees
○ Hiking was most enjoyable, very quiet
○ Hiking, friendly staff, camping, floodplain forest, visitor center bathroom
○ I like hiking and photography
○ I liked the inside. It was well done. I also liked the boardwalk.
○ I only had about 40 minutes and I loved the boardwalk trail in the beautiful trees
○ Immersion in nature
○ Information from staff, visitor center exhibits, and movie
○ Interesting, great boardwalk hike
○ It satisfied our wish to see - be in - the swamp, enjoy a nice day
○ It was quiet. Maps were helpful.
○ It was so serene and quiet. Lovely birds and lots of them. Great boardwalks.
○ It was very unexpected
○ It's a fun place to walk
○ It's a peaceful place to hike
○ It's not often one sees the beauty of such a place
○ It's the best place in Columbia
○ Just enjoying being outdoors in such an interesting place
○ Just love the floodplain/swamp
○ Kayaking on Cedar Creek
○ Knowledge shared by the paid and volunteer staff
○ Large trees, clean facility, the politeness of staff
○ Large variety of wildlife, especially off-trail
○ Leadership of John Cely and his knowledge of park and its resources
○ Loblolly pines
○ Long boardwalk through swamp
○ Long, quiet hike, bird calls, champion trees, cypress "knees"
○ Long, well-marked trails
○ Lots of birds
○ Love the trees
○ Natural forest setting, quietness, beautiful
○ Nature, history, trails
o Nature; access to nature via walking trail
o New environment, peace, quiet
o Nice trails, cypress trees, trails well-marked
o Nice walk with self-guided tour, view, learning
o No crowds, boardwalk, ranger
o Old forest, solitude, fresh air
o Old growth trees
o Old growth trees
o Opportunity for fresh air, quiet and exercise - free of charge experience
o Opportunity for good conversation. Learned about woodpeckers and cypress knees.
o Outdoors
o Outside/nature solitude
o Outstanding interactions with staff and amazing resource
o Overnight camping with my son
o Peace and large trees
o Peace and quiet
o Peace and quiet, free camping
o Peace, order, clean
o Peace, paths, preservation of trees and landscape and personnel
o Peaceful
o Peaceful sounds of nature during our walk
o Peaceful, beautiful walk
o Peaceful, very few visitors, pleasant weather, no mosquitoes
o Peaceful, well-maintained, but rural trails for running
o Peacefulness and beauty of old growth woods
o Peacefulness, the walk, boardwalk, wildlife
o Preservation of swamp
o Quality and quantity of trails
o Quiet and cypress
o Quiet and natural
o Quiet and solitude
o Quiet and solitude of kayaking Cedar Creek. All the birds.
o Quiet getaway, nature
o Quiet walk to stretch legs
o Quiet, beauty
o Quiet, beauty, friendly staff
o Quiet, boardwalk, small number of visitors
o Quiet, condition/length of boardwalk
o Quiet, friendliness and helpfulness of the ranger on duty in the visitor center, numbers on the boardwalk
o Quiet, tree, diversity
o Quiet; Loved the trees
o Ranger was most helpful, knowledgeable and friendly - Kathleen
o Rangers and their ability to communicate their knowledge of park natural history
o Rangers and volunteers were friendly, knowledgeable, and motivated
- Remoteness, quiet
- Scenery
- Scenery
- Scenery within the park, trails
- Scenic views with explanations in brochures. Wildlife, foliage, nature, solitude.
- Scenic walks, history of parks
- Seeing a preserved forest and it was very peaceful
- Seeing the floodplain environment
- Seeing the large trees on our hikes; hikes were well-marked
- Self boardwalk tour and quality of brochure and information at each marked station; mural in visitors center
- Serenity
- Silence
- Solitude
- Solitude
- Solitude
- Solitude
- Solitude
- Solitude, champion trees and hiking on trails
- Solitude and trails for walking and enjoying each other and the area around us
- Solitude of the trails
- Solitude on the trail and seeing some wild pigs
- Solitude on the trails and the option to sign-up for a canoe trip and guided tour
- Solitude, ease of access, minimal expense, helpful/friendly National Park Service personnel
- Solitude, easy walking trails, visitor center
- Solitude, majesty of old growth forest, wildlife
- Solitude, natural balance of nature
- Solitude, nice trails, no mosquitos. Loved idea of canoe trip led - didn't know enough in advance.
- Solitude, plants, animals
- Solitude, quietness, and sounds of nature
- Solitude, silence, excellent trails, well-maintained foot bridges and boardwalks, wild pigs
- Solitude, the forest itself, beautiful camping spot, boardwalk, trails
- Staff
- Staff, boardwalk
- Staff very nice and helpful. Trails well marked and easy to follow. Good directional signs. Maps corresponded to trails and bridges.
- Swamp environment, trees, birds
- That it is a national Park
- That we were here in February and had the park to ourselves. Could be leisurely walking, no bugs at this time of year.
- The abundance of birds - many types
- The amazing trees and the boardwalk
- The bald cypress root structures were something we had never seen before, and they really made the hike
The beauty and peacefulness
The beauty of the old growth floodplain forest and the champion trees, especially the loblolly pines
The beauty of the park
The beauty of the place, the peace and quiet
The big trees, birds singing, squirrels
The birds (Barred Owl) and on a previous visit spiders and dragon flies
The boardwalk trail and pamphlet
The boardwalk trail and the big trees
The boardwalk, different landscape, learning about the ecosystem
The boardwalk; the entire visit was very enjoyable
The boardwalks were fun and well-maintained
The boardwalks were well done
The chance to see a biome we had never seen
The freedom to just go
The great loblolly pines and ancient trees
The hike and guide to read
The hogs were so cool
The kayaking opportunities and knowledgeable staff/volunteers
The magnificence of the old growth forest
The mystery, magic, and majesty of the trees, the boardwalks, the Pileated woodpecker
The natural beauty and solitude and no litter. Cleanest place in the county.
The natural experience
The nature and beauty of the park. I like the turtles; fiance likes the "crazy squirrels."
The old growth forests
The opportunity to enjoy hiking and sightseeing with our dogs
The peace and quiet
The peacefulness of the trails and being able to visit/see one of the last floodplains
The pileated woodpecker
The quiet walk on the boardwalk trail and the interesting scenery
The quiet walks on the trails
The quiet, the swamps, the boardwalk, the staff
The ranger who led our tour was stellar
The relaxing atmosphere, friendly park rangers
The serenity and safety of walking around the trails
The solitude and sounds of nature
The solitude on the trail and the wildlife
The solitude, friendliness of staff
The talks with park rangers
The trails - seeing the native plants and wildlife
The trails were wonderful; well taken care of; loved boy scout bridges
The trees - Wow
The trees and the quiet
The uniqueness of this eco-system
The variety of plants to observe within a 2-hour walk
The vegetation, especially the trees
The way the trails (Western Lake Loop) weave through the old growth forest. The condition of the trails was great.
The whole park
The wooden path, good condition, friendly staff, film, AV presentation, restrooms, parking
Touring with a volunteer guide (John Cely) to tell us information about what we were seeing
Trails
Trails
Trails
Trails
Trails and big trees, no mosquitos or humidity
Trails open and clear for hiking
Trails to hike
Trails were well marked and an enjoyable hike
Trees
Trees
Trees
Trees
Trees
Trees and walkways
Trees, boardwalk
Trees, great trails, quiet
Trees, knees in the water
Trees, trails
Twenty-one points of interest along the boardwalk trail
Uncrowded, pleasant
Undisturbed wilderness, quiet, bird life
Unique forest, boardwalk, very pleasant staff and volunteers, John
Unique park, boardwalks well-maintained, wonderful interaction (conversing with rangers)
Unique primeval-like old-growth floodplain forest setting, which is beautiful and serene
Unique swamp forest, no bugs, zero on mosquito meter
Very free-form exploration
Very good boardwalk trails. The marked places of interest. Seeing the large bald cypress trees.
Very peaceful
Viewing the forest and birds from boardwalk, talking with staff and volunteers
Visited in February; unfortunately, not much blooming and little animal activity, but no mosquitos
Visitor center was very helpful
Walk
Walking
Walking in an old growth forest
Walking in quiet woods with knowledgeable ranger and volunteer
Walking in woods, seeing big trees
Walking on the elevated boardwalk trail
Walking the elevated boardwalk
Walking Weston Lake Loop
We enjoyed hiking the boardwalk and completing the Junior Ranger program with the kids
- We enjoyed seeing the beautiful old growth forest and the large trees
- We experienced the vast boardwalk and enjoyed it
- We had a beautiful day and we loved the trails, the champion trees
- We like being alone to hear, see, audio record and photograph nature
- We love nature. The boardwalk was awesome.
- We love the trails, the quiet. A wonderful place to hike. It was my birthday.
- We were unfamiliar with this type of swamp - very beautiful
- Weather, boardwalk
- Well-designed boardwalk showed key features of the park
- Well-kept trails, Junior Ranger program
- Well-kept, nice trails
- Wilderness
- Woodpeckers
Q32b. What did you and your personal group like least about your visit to Congaree NP?

- Airplane noise
- Airplane noise
- All good
- Almost no parking
- Any sign of man, ie: Solo cups discarded alongside boardwalks
- Bald Cypress trees
- Barking dogs
- Boardwalk was made of wood. Thought that was a bit weird to have in a national park.
- Boy scouts a bit rowdy
- Came before blooming season
- Campgrounds need upgrading. Need to have water at after hours campground. Need sanitation facilities at Bluff Campground.
- Can't think of anything remotely negative
- Chilly wind
- Could you move it to my backyard? Nothing - it was a beautiful visit, perfect weather.
- Couldn't stay longer
- Crying babies
- Cut our hike short because we thought gate locked at 5. It doesn't.
- Dearth of wildlife (in Feb)
- Destruction by wild pigs. Unexpected water on Oakridge Trail.
- Destructive signs of pigs
- Did not have enough time - not your fault
- Did not have enough time to spend
- Did not see hogs
- Didn't have time to take a longer hike
- Didn't see as many birds as I'd hoped for
- Didn't understand which trails we could take a dog on
- Difficult to rate progress on unmarked trails
- Distance from home - 30 miles. If closer, we would come about once a week.
- Distance from major roads
- Dogs on boardwalk
- Dogs on boardwalk and trails. Most trails start and end on boardwalk.
- Enjoying the quiet and peace
- Everything was great
- Evidence of destruction by pigs everywhere
- Exceeded expectations
- Feral pigs - get rid of them
- Few signs to help you find it
- Fewer animal sightings - wrong time of year
- Filling out this extremely long form
- Forgot to bring water
- Getting to the bluff site too late to get a fire ring
- Got conflicting information from rangers and volunteers
Great experience - no negatives
Having to leave my dog in the car for much of our hike, but I understand why
Hearing gunshots
Honestly, pretty boring
How clean and maintained
Hurricane Hugo trees - dead
I can't think of any issues, I had a limited 2.5 hr. visit. Perhaps the signage to get into/to the park
I didn't expect to hear airplanes, but it didn't really affect the experience
I wanted more information about wildlife while on trails. Had to identify via iPhone.
I would like to see a few benches on the trails
Inability to use boardwalk because dogs not allowed - feel missed best viewing
Inefficiency of check-in after hike. We were exhausted, but had to wait due to only one clipboard with eight forms on it. Should have been one form per clipboard.
It rained during my visit
It was all good
It was near impossible to find a clear bank to fish the Congaree River
It was only great. To think I had no idea it existed only one week ago.
It was raining
Lack of campsites for tenting
Lack of canoe tours, maybe allow some canoe times for people on a first-come-first-served basis
Lack of deadwood
Lack of detailed information and education. Too broad, not enough scientific detail.
Lack of lodging in the park
Lack of markers identifying plant life and trees. Not numbers, actually tell us what we see.
Lack of parking, very overzealous law enforcement on power trips
Lack of signs for campground - after hours parking not a good description
Last year we planned a visit and had reserved a spot on the ranger-led canoe trip. We had to cancel that trip and were disappointed to learn that the canoe trips have been cut way back.
Liked all of it
Liked it all
Liked it all
Litter
Long walk from car to #5 campsite
Loud children
Loud people who scared away birds
Loud talking visitors
Loud, running children on boardwalk
Loved everything
Loved everything
Marginal to poor water quality. The Congaree River seems to be in pretty bad shape.
Maybe that there was nothing to eat nearby
More trails
Mosquitos
- My feet hurt
- Need more rangers
- Needed a bathroom halfway around boardwalk
- Needed a bit more marking and directions on paths
- No animals
- No opportunity to go out in a boat unless you canoed
- No plaques stating the age of the trees and the boardwalk was too long
- No ranger-led offered, improve signage
- No restrooms or water at campground
- No signage explaining the area, trees, etc.
- No water available in organized camping area
- Noise - airplane, train
- Noise - trains, airplanes
- Noise of jets
- None
- None
- None
- Not allowed to walk our dogs on boardwalk
- Not being able to kayak or canoe
- Not being able to take dog on boardwalks, long way around to trails
- Not enough informational markers along the boardwalk
- Not enough time
- Not enough trails, especially in the eastern half of the park
- Not having the boardwalks better marked
- Not much there, or at least minimal variety
- Not really a dislike, but with our last minute trip to South Carolina, we were too late (and disappointed) to be unable to go on ranger-led canoe trip
- Not very good road signage on highways leading to the park
- Nothing
- Nothing
- Nothing
- Nothing
- Nothing
- Nothing
- Nothing
- Nothing
- Nothing
- Nothing
- Nothing
- Nothing
- Nothing
- Nothing
- Nothing
o Nothing
o Nothing
o Nothing - wonderful respite
o Nothing noted - it was a positive experience
o Nothing specific
o Nothing, except maybe mosquitos
o Only one backcountry camping site
o Other people's litter (beer cans) on the beach at the river
o Our dog couldn't come on all of the trails that we wanted to go on
o Parking was tight - not enough spaces
o People on trails
o People who had their dogs and bikes on the boardwalk
o Pigs in the backcountry
o Poor sign from main road
o Primitive camping - no bath house at campground
o Proximity to noise, no water source at Bluff Campground
o Railings on low boardwalk broken
o Rain
o Rain
o Rain during our visit
o Rainy day, but you cannot control that
o Rainy weather. I know you need it, but...
o Roads leading to it creeped me out
o Sameness of the forest - homogeneity
o Saw less wildlife than we expected. Not a lot to see if you don't do a lot of hiking.
o Seeing the damage from wild pigs
o Seeing the litter in the creek, but we picked up what we could
o Seeing trash - offer incentive for collected trash
o Signs going to park
o Some guys had built a fire in the backcountry and did not put it out
o Some maintenance needed on trails. Benches would be good.
o Special trees
o Strange man on trail
o Surrounding area
o Survey questions upon arrival in visitor center
o Tall dead trees along boardwalk
o Teenagers swinging on trees during last visit
o That canoe tour wasn't available that day
o That we didn't plan more time there
o That we only had a few hours - would have liked more time there
o The brevity of the visit
o The cold weather
o The crazy-ass spider that tried eating my fiancé's head, and it looked like there was oil in the water
o The difficulty in reserving ranger-guided canoe tours
The evidence of wild pigs, i.e., tearing up the ground
The outhouses at the campground are falling apart; the floor is rotting; no showers or running water
The trail brochure was a little confusing
The trail map was confusing
There is nothing even close to outside of the park - restaurants and activities
There was no bad experience
There was no scenic outlook, but that's no fault of the park, as it is a swamp
There was only one trail that allowed bikes and it was only one mile long
There was some confusion at the major trail intersections where the Weston Lake Loop Trail continued
This survey
Thunder and rain
Tires were slashed at river take out and van was towed
Too few opportunities to sign up for special events - volume limitations, canoe trips
Too hot
Trail signage - need more information on signs about distances, should use map boards
Trails could use more signage
Trash on sand bank on the Congaree River on the river trail
Unexpected cool weather
Unless we missed the exhibit in the VC, there's no stand alone exhibit about the bald cypress roots.
\begin{itemize}
  \item We did find the one panel in the pine "forest" about the tree
\end{itemize}
Very difficult to find and no other signs to guide you into the park
Visited in February; unfortunately, not much blooming and little animal activity, but no mosquitos
Was not unhappy with visit
We are coming back. Love it.
We asked about an event schedule. The staff said there are none.
We did not experience anything negative
We enjoyed all aspects of the park
We enjoyed it all
We enjoyed ourselves that day just as we always do. Nothing negative to say.
We got there too late and would have liked to have spent more time
We saw trash underneath boardwalk and people ignoring the 'no dogs' sign and bringing their dogs on trails
We should have come when leaves on trees formed full canopy
We were unable to attend the owl prowl. Would it be possible to have an owl hospital? Or video?
Weston Lake Loop Trail could use more guideposts or benches. I realize the swamp may not allow this.
Wished we could have rented a canoe on the weekday
Q33. Congaree NP was established because of its significance to the nation. In your opinion, what is the national significance of this park?

- A preserved old growth floodplain
- A unique biological environment
- A very rare wilderness
- Ability to handle flooding and naturally purifying water. Historic trees.
- Ability to see virgin forest
- All national parks are extremely important
- All parks are significant
- An example of floodplain and forest
- An untouched swath of land that serves as a biodiversity haven and an original reference point
- Ancient forest, floodplain
- Appreciating swamp
- As a unique eco-system found nowhere else
- As one of the few remaining old growth floodplain forests, the preservation of this park is vital for historical, educational, and natural reasons
- Awesome
- Big trees, biodiversity, saving old growth forest
- Bioreserve
- Biodiversity safe haven for wild animals, old trees, climate control
- Biological diversity combined with accessibility
- Biosphere, temperate forest
- Bottomland hardwood
- Breeding and habitat for unique and at risk wildlife
- Champion trees saved from loggers, birds
- Champion trees, old bottomland hardwood forest
- Champion trees, wildlife diversity, wilderness preservation
- Champion/large trees, floodplains
- Civil War history
- Conservation
- Conservation of our natural habitat
- Cross section of unusual and rare biomes
- Cypress swamps, wildlife, natural heritage
- Cypress trees and other
- Cypress, tupelo trees, large pines
- Don't know
- Don't know
- Ecology and natural resources
- Exemplifies a particularly important type of biosphere
- Exposure to a different ecological setting
- Few such parks on the East coast; largest remaining old growth forest in the east; diversity of wildlife
- Floodplain forest
- Floodplain forest is a unique ecosystem, and it was protected through a grassroots movement
- Floodplain old growth forest
- Floodplain trees
- Floodplains and forest
- Forest (old growth floodplain)
- Forest preservation
- Forest protection, history of area, plants and animals
- Great place to visit nature
- Great significance
- Historical
- History and ecosystem
- History being preserved
- Huge trees
- Huge trees
- I don't know
- I would have to say the large, unique trees and the swamp
- Importance of maintaining our natural heritage, preserving swamp lands, etc.
- Important
- Important
- Important forest, but don't understand its criticality as a national park vs. national preserve, monument, etc.
- Important natural resource
- Interesting forest, worth preserving and protecting from development
- It adds to the overall recreational and scenic choices for all of us
- It has some natural scenes that I have not seen elsewhere
- It helps to preserve history and natural ecosystem
- It is a unique environment, so it must be preserved
- It is a wonderful refuge for wildlife and a possible environmental barometer that should be studied
- It is one of the last old growth forests around. It shows how the land used to be and how detrimental humans can be to the planet.
- It is our "national swamp"
- It is rare
- It preserves a slice of what this area once was like
- It preserves a world that normally would be destroyed by ourselves
- It preserves/protects the largest tract of bottomland hardwood forest
- It shows what our forest can be
- It was an important ecosystem within its borders
- It was one of 3 or 4 on the east coast
- It's a very unique place with the floodplains and old hardwood forest, both which cannot be experience anywhere else
- It's beauty
- It's important
- It's important to conserve these areas for future generations
- Its significance to South Carolina, its habitats
- Its status as old growth floodplain habitat, which is rare and yet critical for water and soil quality, wildlife, etc.
- It's the last remaining section of hardwood old growth southeast bottomlands remaining
It's the last stand of old growth pine forest
It's unique; tall trees, floodplain, very cool
Its biodiversity as well as the historical significance of the area
Its history and natural resources
Its unique ecosystem
Keeping a part of nature alive
Large trees
Largest deciduous forest in world
Last of old forest
Learn about swamp of southern states and tall trees. Protects wilderness area from development so all people can enjoy it.
Location of park
Magnificent and rare old growth forest
Maintaining wetland habitats
Minimal
Monument trees and floodplain
Natural habitat
Natural habitat
Natural habitat and preservation
Natural history of unmatched character
Natural South Carolina landscape, old growth canopy
Natural, wild part of our state. Old growth pines and habitat need to be preserved.
Nature is being saved
Nature, swamp, trees and their knees
No other park like it
Not sure
Not sure why this qualifies as a NP - does not compare to most other NP's
Offers a myriad of opportunities; great place to visit
Old forest natural resource reserve, quiet and designated trails, accessibility to old growth plain forest
Old growth
Old growth cypress
Old growth ecosystem
Old growth floodplain forest
Old growth floodplain, biodiversity
Old growth forest
Old growth forest
Old growth forest
Old growth forest
Old growth forest
Old growth forest and public facility
Old growth forest and swamp
Old growth forest and wildlife preserve
Old growth forest on a floodplain basin
Old growth forest preservation
Old growth forest that has been preserved
Old growth forest, big trees, biodiversity
Old growth forest/habitat
Old growth habitat for unique plants and animals
Old growth pine indicative wilderness
Old growth tree preservation
Old growth trees
Old growth trees
Old growth trees, unique natural beauty
Old growth, conservation
Old growth, natural setting
Oldest old growth forest
Oldest old growth forest. Never seen anything like it, just moved here.
One of a kind
One of last stands of old growth floodplain forest. Ecosystem, size of them.
One of the few remaining old growth forests in the world
One-of-a-kind
Only one of its kind in North America. So rich in its history and beauty.
Preservation
Preservation
Preservation of a significant environment
Preservation of animal and plant life, especially the wild pigs
Preservation of floodplain environment, trees, birds
Preservation of natural areas
Preservation of nature and a place to go that doesn't cost an arm and leg
Preservation of old growth floodplain forest
Preservation of old growth floodplain forest
Preservation of old growth floodplain forest and its accessibility
Preservation of old growth forest. National park available in South Carolina.
Preservation of the highest deciduous forest canopy on earth
Preservation of unique species
Preserve for future generations
Preserve natural history of old growth floodplain forest
Preserve old growth
Preserve the swamp and old growth timber for future generations
Preserved old growth forest with champion trees
Preserves first growth forest
Preserving a largely vanished ecosystem
Preserving a rare environment
Preserving habitat for plants and animals, allowing people to experience this preservation
Preserving nature
Preserving plants and ecosystems
Preserving resources
Preserving tall trees and introducing people to importance of ecosystem
Preserving the natural habitat of the old growth floodplain
Preserving this wonderful, unique area for future generations
Preserving unique ecosystem
Preserving what is left of this dramatic ecosystem and letting Americans enjoy it without impacting it too much
Preserving wilderness
Preserving wilderness areas and our natural resources
Priceless
Probably very little...things change, neither for better nor for worse, oftentimes
Protect old growth plants and species. Preserve floodplain for regional security.
Protect trees and wildlife. Have a place to study.
Protected for the future
Protecting an ecosystem that would be destroyed in the absence of the park
Protecting the past/future
Protecting wild lands and diverse species
Protection and preservation of unique wilderness area
Protection of beautiful spaces
Protection of old forest floodplain
Protection of old growth forest and research opportunities
Protects an ecosystem that would have been destroyed by development
Rarity of old growth forest, birds
Rarity of species
Record trees, massive land area preserved
Recreation, restore nature
Remnant bottomland forest
Sample of what once was a larger forest - lowland swamp
Saving a piece of virgin South Carolina for future generations to see
Saving an old growth floodplain forest from destruction and helping people understand its significance
Saving old growth trees and maintaining habitat for native plants and animals
Saving one of the last virgin forests in the eastern USA
See a real forest - nature at its best
Seeing what nature was like before man intruded
Show that SC has a history to be explored
Showing people why it is important to pay attention to nature
Size of the forest
Size, location, trails
So unique
Special ecosystem and habitat, plant and wildlife
Swamp and its history. Trees - bald cypress, loblolly, etc.
Swamp ecosystem
Swampland trees
Swamps are part of nature. Our parks are more than big trees and waterfalls. Swamps are unique ecosystems.
Tall loblolly pines, wetlands, mangroves
That our nation is supportive of parks and preserving natural habitats
That part of our country's natural beauty has been preserved in its undisturbed state for citizens to experience now and in the future. This is a wonderful gift.

- The beautiful creation God made left untouched
- The beauty of an old growth forest
- The biosphere and where/how it fits into the overall ecology
- The champion trees and the collection of old growth trees
- The fact that it is an old growth bottomland forest
- The fact that it is one of the few remaining old growth floodplain forests in the US
- The heritage to the area
- The history
- The importance of protecting wetlands
- The importance of tree conservation because of animal dependence on trees, especially woodpeckers
- The largest remaining old-growth bottomland forest
- The old growth forest
- The old growth forest in a southern setting that is easily accessible in many different ways
- The old growth trees
- The old growth trees and the floodplain
- The only old growth floodplain - nothing else like it
- The park is the remains of a very productive ecosystem
- The preservation of its unique environs
- The preservation of the large bald cypress trees
- The preservation of this beautiful and rare landscape and ecosystem
- The rarity of old growth forests. Also, the total quiet. An ideal swamp.
- The swamp and trees
- The swamp areas and trees, wildlife. A place for quiet exploration and beauty.
- The swamp, the cypress trees and knees, the old growth, etc.
- The tallest deciduous canopy, maybe in the world. The biodiversity.
- The trees
- The trees and the protection of the floodplain
- The trees, the access - easy, but not invasive or intrusive
- The unique terrain and habitat makes this a unique national treasure worth preserving
- The unusualness of the trees
- The wildlife and the trees that it protects
- There is no other place quite like it and it should be preserved for future generations
- To be able to imagine what it was like when it was first explored
- To maintain a unique environment for current and future generations
- To preserve natural resources and wildlife and access to public
- To preserve old forest
- To preserve the old growth floodplain forest
- To preserve the old growth trees, etc. The citizen's role in saving the special space, the activism.
- To preserve this chunk of nature - a special place
- To preserve this piece of nature in its pristine condition
- Tranquil environment close to a state capitol
- Tree protection
- Tree species
- Trees
- Trees and plants
- Trees cannot be harvested and nature can take its course
- Trees, birds
- Tremendous value to our nation because of the variety and type of plants/wildlife
- Undisturbed old growth forest
- Unique landscape, "walk back in time"
- Unique lowland forest
- Unique natural environment as surviving old growth coastal forest
- Unique wilderness preservation
- Uniqueness of plant specifics
- Unobstructed by human impact
- Untouched natural habitat; last forest of its kind, beautiful
- Untouched nature
- Untouched resources
- Very few left that exhibit this untouched environment and old growth forests
- Very high
- Very important
- Very important because we have almost no old growth trees and forests left
- Very important never to lose
- Very neat ecosystem
- Very old trees and wilderness
- Very significant
- Very significant
- Very tall trees, unique landscape, but perhaps not as unique as some. Definitely for the region.
- We need to preserve old growth forests so kids can see what it was like
- Wetland environment, cypress knees
- Wetlands, habitats
- Where to start - nature is disappearing, must save as much as possible. Important to see how America looked at one time.
- Wilderness area that needs to be preserved
- Wilderness area, old growth
- Wilderness swamp
Q34. If you were a manager planning for the future of Congaree NP, what would you and your personal group propose?

- A designated area to select camping spots - spread apart in a wilderness area
- A fee to enter the park
- A little more promotion - I don't think people know about this park
- A nice restaurant would be lovely
- A reminder to take water with you while touring
- A sign at the beach asking folks to 'carry out' or a trash bin
- Ability to canoe/kayak during week
- Acquire more land, especially on west bank
- Acquiring more land, adding an RV campground
- Add more about importance of wetlands as earth's filter. Improve/add trail direction signs/maps.
- Add more staff, ie., rangers and volunteers
- Add running water at the camping areas
- Add to boardwalk trail
- Additional trails
- Additional trails, Riverside Beach on river trails
- All photos in the exhibit should identify who took them, date taken, who are the subjects; more informational markers explaining flora, fauna and geologic or ground formations in more detail
- An inn would be nice. Please mark biggest trees better on maps or near trees.
- An observation tower or canopy walk to get up into the trees
- Another ranger or two to facilitate canoe program and presentations
- Ask the military to not fly over the park and involve the local community in the preservation of the park
- Attempt to hire locals to ensure more long-range success of park/local interactions
- Balance preservation and use
- Better camping facility; coordinating with lower Richland
- Better facilities/area for non-tent campers, RV/trailers aren't given space/picnic table/fire pit
- Better sign/directions to the park
- Better signage
- Better signs of the trails. I did not bring a map of the trails and wanted to get off the boardwalk, but wasn't sure of the trail.
- Better website - no information on picnic area. Educate the public of the value.
- Bike trail, night hiking guided
- Boardwalk maintenance
- Boat tours of 1-2 hour narrated by a ranger or knowledgeable guide
- Build a 'green' lodge
- Build a more informative website with research results. Have more programs.
- Build specified bike paths
- Build up the area/community surrounding park. "Clean it up"
- Campground expansion
- Can't think of anything
- Cannot think of anything
- Canopy tour
- Change to National Monument and use NP resources elsewhere
- Charge a fee for guided kayak tours and increasing the number of tours
- Closer access from car to put kayaks in
- Congaree needs its own sticker for the passport books; it is a hidden treasure. More advertising to let people know about it.
- Continue
  - Continue "wilderness" aspect and exotic species work
  - Continue natural preservation
  - Continue to expand its boundaries. We have enough commercial space and homes. Protect this special place for my grandkids.
  - Continue to preserve this wonderful piece of America for our children and grandchildren
  - Continue to protect forest, guided tours help educate us to its importance and benefits
  - Continue to protect park and watershed as much as possible
  - Continued excellent maintenance
  - Decent campgrounds, but without cutting any of that wonderful forest. Also, get wealthy people to help acquire more land.
- Do nothing. Leave it alone. Beautiful just the way it is.
- Do what you are doing
- Don't know
- Don't know
- Easy access to an unobstructed canoe trails
- Educate and protect
  - Educating the public to the importance of protecting and preserving this natural resource
  - Education on importance of old growth forest/biodiversity
  - Educational lectures
  - Encourage or make mandatory for schools to visit the park
  - Encourage outreach and education on native flora and fauna - workshops at local schools
- Enjoyment
  - Enlarge the lookout area for viewing the lake. Something about larger bodies of water that fascinate people. Tranquil, serene.
- Everything was perfect
  - Expand boundaries and/or emphasize negative impact of litter
  - Expand land holdings. Kill wild pigs. Eliminate or control exotics.
- Expand the boardwalk
  - Expand the park
- Expand to surrounding countryside to show change in habitat, etc.
  - Expanded educational opportunities, increased signage on trails giving specifics on trails
  - Expansion, coordination with other nearby preserves, protection from South Carolina highway development
- Family camping
- Fitness, stress reduction
- Further boardwalk trails
- Further promotion of education about the biological and historical significance of the park
- Further wildlife emphasis. Perhaps greater access to trails? But, perhaps not.
- Get involved; participate in group activities
- Get rid of McEntire
- Get ride of the pigs, would like to know more about the different plant communities, how to help in preserving and restoring park to original wilderness
- Great just as is
- Guided tours for photographers
- Guided walks off of the boardwalks
- Have only visited one time and had a good experience
- Have program in surrounding towns especially Columbia
- Higher bridges crossing to avoid submersion as often in some trail locations, continued trail maintenance, number and types of programs visitors would enjoy
- Hire more rangers
- I am not a manager
- I would be searching for a way to eliminate the wild pigs or significantly reduce their numbers. They are horribly destructive.
- I would propose mountain bike specific trails. The park would be a great experience on a bike.
- I would purchase as much of the surrounding property as possible
- I would try to preserve as much and for as long as possible. We are too much of a disposable society.
- I'm not sure what takes place already, perhaps triathlons, a fishing tournament on the Congaree with big names, engage local business and people, a music festival, photo competition, harvest specimen trees when they fall, charge fees. Have a storm plan - often trees fall in a big storm; I know that is part of the ecosystem, but something limited, a bird watching event(s), a large group campsite
- Increase signage for direction. Large signs on interstate alerting potential visitors.
- Increase the number of days that the kayak trips are offered
- Increase types of usage to maximize support for national parks
- Increased area so greater preservation area, better access from 601
- Interactive trail map online/web - attracts hikers. Google New Zealand national parks for examples.
- Just getting the word out and having lots of recreation opportunities, like the canoe tours
- Keep funding strong. Make paths clear.
- Keep it as it is
- Keep it as natural as possible
- Keep it just as it is
- Keep it like it is
- Keep it like it is
- Keep it like it is except add boardwalks
- Keep it the same
- Keep more parts primitive, walking trails but not asphalt
- Keep public informed and involved. Do not over develop.
- Keep the park just like it is
- Keep up good job of balancing needs of visitors while protecting park
- Keep up the good work
- Keep up the good work, have knowledgeable people on hand
- Keep visitors to the trails and keep nature as untouched as possible
- Keeping it as natural as possible
- Leave as is
Leave as is and add more canoe trips
- Limit number of people per day access. More bicycle opportunities if not impacting.
- Limited access to wilderness areas
- Listing miles on directional path signs
- Local outreach. Let the people of Columbia know you are here. Though, I'd prefer to keep Congaree National Park a secret.
- Look for ways to continue to preserve this small, precious piece of nature for future generations to come
- Maintain present quality
- Maintain the path that it's on; keep the area minimalist
- Maintenance, expand borders
- Make it bigger
- Make sure there are small activities throughout the trails to see how the park came about
- Marketing, add a nearby cell tower so visitors can share their experiences via smart phones/social media. Would like to have posted to my Facebook page.
- More "live" data and reports on website - water levels/quality, mosquito report, park conditions/updates
- More access to backcountry
- More activities - ranger-led hikes - and more interactive stuff/information on the boardwalk - mostly. Don't damage much, protect and preserve the natural environment.
- More advertisement
- More advertising and more places to stay around the park area
- More advertising of available programs
- More animals
- More boardwalk
- More boardwalks
- More canoeing
- More exposure to attract more visitors. Better signage.
- More exposure to traveling tourists
- More fishing areas, more trails, more camping areas
- More history of the native people in the area through time, more history of how the park was established
- More information about canoeing and plan of attack for the pigs problem
- More information about the trees and plants on signs or plaques
- More informative placards/trail brochures for self-guided touring/audio tours
- More interpretation of past human use and impact on park area. Better explanation of "cultural resources" of park area.
- More kayaking opportunities with ranger
- More marketing to public for awareness and for attracting more volunteers, especially seniors
- More participation/outreach in greater Columbia community
- More programs for visitors
- More protection
- More public relations and advertising to get the word out about the park
- More publicity nationally
o More ranger-led canoe trips
o More ranger-led hikes, tours, activities just like this one. More publicity - maybe TV spots.
o More running paths
o More signage; explanation of plant and animal life
o More signs on I95 - advertise
o More staff on weekends
o More trails - interpretive signs along the boardwalk
o More trails - just more trails and the ability to rent kayaks/canoes inside the park
o More trails/accessibility
o More trash receptacles along the trails. More advertising for activities.
o Nature study
o New movie
o No comment
o Not qualified - you all are doing a good job
o Not sure
o Not sure - it's a great park
o Nothing
o Offer kayak and canoe rentals with easy pick-up and drop-off right at the park or very close by
o Old growth forest
o One or two more long trails
o Organized activities
o Permanent overnight accommodations, such as a few cabins, maybe seasonably available
o Placing name plates on or near various trees along boardwalk
o Preservation of the land and expansion of hiking and canoe opportunities
o Preserve and education
o Protect it
o Protect the recreational opportunities while also protecting the resources; educate more about resources; get the state to improve the roads leading to the park
o Provide more access into the park and more trails
o Publicize educational opportunities in SC media
o Put distances on trail signs, ie. fishing trail = X miles
o Ranger out and about answering questions, maybe some visuals as well
o Remove invasive, non-native species - both plant and animal
o RV camping
o Same, picnic and walk
o Satisfied
o Sell some food at visitor center, along with drinks. Update the video.
o Shorter surveys
o Talks about proper hiking and trail care, first-hand educational opportunities
o Tell all visitors of guided tours at visitor center face-to-face
o Thanks for adding to the size of the park; consider a new trail deeper into the park
o The movie was great, only too short for me. How about a longer movie, also? Give people a choice.
o The park is great the way it is. Maybe some picnic tables along the trails.
o To continue to preserve it for many generations to come
o To expand the trails into the east and add another entrance
To get funding to keep the ATBI protest going
To highlight more of the history of this area year-round. We enjoyed Congaree Campfire Chronicles.
To let dogs on the boardwalk. New maps in color that are clearer.
To maintain it as it is
To preserve the park from dangers
To publicize the park to area schools and children’s groups and expand the educational programs offered
To stress the importance of habitat conservation for the survival of plants and animals
Trails on new areas
Tree identification signs posted on/near trees to help identify
Try and expand the park to include river and more floodplains
Try to balance access to public with peace and tranquility
Try to limit plane/train noise
Unsure, everything was fantastic
Upgrade campgrounds - water at after hours campground, sanitary facilities at Bluff. Expand the trail system. There’s only about 2 days worth of hiking there at Congaree National Park.
Upgrade signage directing attention to specific areas and items of interest
Upgrade the upper boardwalk. The lower boardwalk is smoother.
Walk your dog day
Whatever is necessary to protect this place
Wood duck boxes
You need signs at all entrances/trails to entrances stating no dogs on the boardwalk. North from Wise Lake a sign says “1.2 miles to visitor center” and says nothing about the boardwalk.
Your staff is amazing. Hire more like them.
Youth programs to get children interested in preserving natural resources
Q35. Is there anything else you and your personal group would like to tell us about your visit to Congaree NP?

- A hidden treasure that is worth the detour
- All was great
- Also, arrange for canoe/kayak rentals at the park. I could not locate a guide. Operate more ranger-led canoe trips. Arrange for a guide service to be available right at the park. I wished I could have paddled.
- Always a pleasure to visit, building family memories
- Always enjoy it
- Always love this park
- Amazing place. Good job.
- Appreciated reading in state paper about upcoming events there
- Appreciated the enthusiasm of the staff and volunteers
- Bathrooms should be open 24 hours a day, or have another available clean option
- Beautiful place, love to bring family and friends there
- Better information about gate closure vs. visitor center hours
- Boardwalks and trails promote access to park areas that would be inaccessible otherwise
- Campsites looked awesome; we'll stay there instead of in town next time
- Clear trails - very good with excellent blaze markings
- Confirmed the greatness of national park system
- Congaree is a special place and totally amazed my Welsh naturalist friend
- Do not understand why it's one of 59 national parks vs. other national protected area
- Enjoyed
- Enjoyed
- Enjoyed it
- Enjoyed it
- Enjoyed our visit and plan to return
- Enjoyed our visit. This is too long.
- Excellent staff
- Fantastic trails, well marked. Will return.
- February - perfect time to visit. Love the mosquito meter. And dog trail.
- Good trip
- Got several lifers
- Great experience
- Great place
- Great visit; park staff was exceptionally helpful; love the skeeter meter
- Had a great time
- Had a great time and no bugs
- Had fun on a beautiful winter day
- Helpful and friendly staff
- I am excited about signing up for a canoe trip
- I enjoyed it. Boardwalk needs the mileage on map and have smaller walk.
- I frequent national parks. I love the purpose. Keep up good work.
- I had a good time
o I like the fact that most of the park is undeveloped; I’d like more trails, a larger main visitor center with more exhibits, but I wouldn’t want any roads through the park or a 2nd visitor center
o I really enjoyed the Friday mid-day tech talks by experts in November-December 2011
o I took a group of Benedict College students today. Several of my students said they had never been to a national park before.
o I was extremely impressed by the board walk and the natural trails
o I’ll be back
o I’m glad that this is a national park and just 2 hour drive from my home
o Interesting and unique. Easy to find.
o Interpretive staff was excellent. Law enforcement was unprofessional and of little help. They work for us.
o It has been a marvelous visit
o It is a peaceful and harmonious place to visit
o It was a great experience on a lovely day, helped by the friendliness of the ranger on duty
o It was a great experience. We really loved the mosquito dial.
o It was a lot of fun. Thanks.
o It was a nice walk; we enjoyed spending time with our loved one in a serene safe environment
o It was a wonderful surprise and valuable walking tour
o It was excellent
o It was great
o It was great
o It was great
o It was nice. Thank you.
o It was wonderful. Thanks to all who preserved it and made it possible.
o It was wonderful. We will return often.
o It’s a great opportunity to build family memories. Thank you.
o It’s hard to improve upon
o Joe (volunteer) and young female national park employee were very helpful
o Just a pleasant surprise and a place of beauty
o Keep up the good work
o Keep up the good work
o Leave it alone. It is very beautiful.
o Loved it. We will be back.
o Loved the park overall - thank you
o Loved the park. Our ranger was great. Loved the owl prowl. Personnel at desk were extremely helpful.
o Lovely facility, enjoyed video, enthusiastic rangers and volunteers
o Lovely park - Thank you to all staff (super)
o Miriam was less than knowledgeable compared to other rangers we have experienced. Increase her preparation so she can confidently answer basic questions.
o More advertising in southeast region
o More rewarding and interesting than anticipated. Thank you for all your work.
o Movie/film not informative enough - birds, trees names
o My visit was short and spontaneous (a road trip); it is a gem of a park, I had never heard of it but saw it on a map - perhaps more advertising. I will plan to visit again when I have more time.
o Nice experience
o Park is litter free. Ranger was pleasant. Thank you.
o Park rangers Kate and Corinne were especially helpful/knowledgeable with information on the park
o Passport stamp collectors - old stamp Congaree Swamp National Memorial - retired?
o Place boardwalk maps in more visible and accessible location right at beginning of boardwalk.
  Several visitors I met on boardwalk asked where I'd found the boardwalk map. They walked past
  the box on side of building.
o Please give better information about photographs used in interpretive exhibits in visitor center - date
  taken at a minimum, but name of photographer if know, names of subjects if known
o Please identify dates and photographers on pictures on display in visitor center
o Please include mileage information on trail signs
o Positive
  o Proofread trail guide - 'Saturday' is not spelled correctly
o Quite an enjoyable visit
o Ranger greeter was very informative
o Ranger Kathleen is a rock star
o Ranger O'Brien was delightful
o Rangers and volunteers need to work on their interpersonal skills and educating volunteers on
  camping permits
o Rangers David and Terry stopped and volunteered to take our picture together. Ranger in the visitor
  center gave us a tutorial on owls because we were disappointed that we could not attend owl
  prowl.
o Really enjoyed the experience - wonderful. Had never seen B. cypress, etc. Saw hooded merganser
  for the 1st time and pileated woodpecker entertained us for quite awhile.
o Research is important, but the main emphasis of a national park should be to make it visitor friendly
o Saw sign on interstate. More exposure of park to public.
o Some additional context of how the park compares to other federal lands (national forests, national
  wildlife refuges) in the area
o South Carolina is very fortunate to have this resource. Thank goodness someone had the foresight
  to save it.
o Staff (1) awesome
o Staff and intern very helpful
o Staff as visitor center helpful
o Staff people were very helpful and nice
o Staff was great, especially Kristin? Corinne?, not sure of ranger's name
o Staff was great, facilities appropriate for a wild area
o Staff was very friendly, knowledgeable and helpful; facility nice and park well-maintained
o Staff were great. It was a wonderful day. Kids loved it.
o Staff were just lovely, so helpful and positive
o Staff were really friendly and helpful - enjoyed visited
o Surprised (delighted) to fine a NP so close to Columbia
o Survey was too long, too detailed, maybe too difficult for some to follow instructions. Please publish
  results for visitors.
o Thank you
o Thank you all. We all had a wonderful time.
o Thank you for all that you do and for providing an excellent outdoor experience
o Thank you for serving America at its parks
Thank you for taking care of this place and making it an easy place to walk.

Thank you for your hard work and keeping the clean restrooms for our family to use. We visit many national parks, state parks, and other outside adventures. Not all places keep the restrooms clean.

Thanks

Thanks

The boardwalk gets very slick after rain could get dangerous.

The boardwalk made the walk easy and leaves the landscape undisturbed.

The information was a little bit scarce regarding plants and animals.

The National Park Service always does an outstanding job. Thanks.

The park staff is excellent. The numerous ranger-led activities are great. I expect I will be visiting at least twice a month. Keep up the good work.

The peacefulness was outstanding.

The ranger and volunteer were quite helpful.

The ranger was extremely helpful in answering our questions.

The rangers are a credit to the National Park Service.

The rangers were fantastic.

The rangers were so kind and helpful. Thank you.

The rangers were very accommodating and knowledgeable.

The staff and volunteers were super-friendly and answered all my questions with enthusiasm.

The staff at the visitor center was very helpful; we really enjoyed.

The staff is exceptional.

The staff was very nice.

There should be foreign language brochures available for visitors from other countries.

There was a lot of loud talking from other visitors. Maybe some ‘quiet’ signs would help.

This park is a jewel. We keep coming back over and over.

This sort of place is a spiritual refreshment for my soul. Thanks.

Very clean restrooms. Polite staff. Beautiful park.

Very friendly and knowledgeable staff and volunteers.

Very good trip.

Very helpful rangers and volunteers. Thank you.

Very helpful volunteer and paid staff at the visitor center very hospitable.

Very nice facilities, very very important to keep this area safe from destruction and commercial development.

Very nice staff - helpful and proud of their park.

We are coming back to canoe.

We are so grateful that this incredible place was preserved. Sad that there is so little of it left. Also, the staff was kind enough to let us park in the parking lot overnight because we arrived late. Appreciated not having to fool around late at night.

We arrived when it was dark, there were no signs to tell us where the campground was; we didn't know where to turn and once we got to the campground, we thought it was just a trailhead.

We enjoyed it.

We had a wonderful day. Rangers friendly and helpful. Benches a help. We will be back.

We had a wonderful hike.
We had difficulty finding a park street address for use with GPS. I think you should do some sort of advertising about the "gnomeland" created by the bald cypress roots.

We had lots of fun on the boardwalk trail, but would like more detailed information on the stations 1-22.

We have been here several times and we were pleased to see so many people using the park this time perhaps because it was on a weekend, but it's always been underutilized on earlier visits.

We love the park.

We love the swamp.

We love to see the wild pigs.

We loved it.

We loved it.

We loved it; volunteers were wonderful.

We loved the scenery and the quiet.

We thoroughly enjoyed our visit and will return. This is the 2nd time I have filled out this questionnaire. What happened with the 1st one? Thank you for a beautiful park.

We visited in February, which is a very nice time, weather-wise, for a visit.

We visited in January and there was no activity at all. A tour would have been good. Area around park area unattractive and intimidating.

We were impressed with the boardwalks, the work involved in them, and their maintenance. What a great job.

We were taken away by the parks’ beauty and tranquility. The female ranger was very knowledgeable.

What a jewel. We were totally blown away by your awesome park. So different from our beloved Shenandoah National Park. Loved it.

What a unique place that is so near - never thought SC had it

Will try to get back sometime

Will volunteer

Wonderful day outing. You do need to check the aging boards of elevated boardwalk out to water’s edge off of Weston Lake Trail.

Wonderful visit

Would have been nice to have distance markers along trails - how far you’ve walked or how far to end

Would have liked water pumps at the campsite, but overall wonderful experience. Will come back.

Yes, dogs right outside visitor center. Dogs should not be allowed outside visitor center or on any boardwalk. Used park’s wheelchairs need repairing - one is in bad shape.

You've done a great job. Keep it up.

Your visitors' study needs a lot more space for written answers like this. When I agreed to take this survey, I thought I might have some input, but there is no room.

Your volunteers and rangers are very helpful and knowledgeable.
Appendix 1: The Questionnaire
Dear Visitor:

Thank you for participating in this important study. Our goal is to learn about the expectations, opinions, and interests of visitors to Congaree National Park. This information will assist us in our efforts to better manage this park and to serve you.

This questionnaire is only being given to a select number of visitors, so your participation is very important! It should only take about 20 minutes after your visit to complete.

When your visit is over, please complete this questionnaire. Seal it in the postage paid envelope provided and drop it in any U.S. mailbox.

If you have any questions, please contact Margaret Littlejohn, NPS VSP Director, Park Studies Unit, College of Natural Resources, P.O. Box 441139, University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho 83844-1139, phone: 208-885-7863, email: littlej@uidaho.edu.

We appreciate your help.

Sincerely,

Tracy Swartout
Superintendent
DIRECTIONS

1) Please have the selected individual (at least 16 years old) complete this questionnaire.

2) Answer the questions carefully since each question is different.

3) For questions that use circles (O), please mark your answer by filling in the circle with black or blue ink. Please do not use pencil.

   Like this:  ●  Not like this:  □  □  □

4) Seal it in the postage paid envelope provided.

5) Drop it in a U.S. mailbox.

Thank you!

PRIVACY ACT and PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT statement:

16 U.S.C. 1a-7 authorizes collection of this information. This information will be used by park managers to better serve the public. Response to this request is voluntary. No action may be taken against you for refusing to supply the information requested. Your name is requested for follow-up mailing purposes only. When analysis of the questionnaire is completed, all name and address files will be destroyed. Thus the permanent data will be anonymous. Please do not put your name or that of any member of your group on the questionnaire. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Burden estimate statement: Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 20 minutes per response. Direct comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this form to Margaret Littlejohn, NPS Visitor Services Project, College of Natural Resources, University of Idaho, P.O. Box 441139, Moscow, ID, 83844-1139; email: littlej@uidaho.edu.

Your Visit To Congaree National Park

NOTE: In this questionnaire, your personal group is defined as anyone that you are visiting the park with, such as spouse, family, friends, etc. This does not include the larger group that you might be traveling with, such as school, church, scouts, or tour group.

1. Prior to your visit, how did you and your personal group obtain information about Congaree National Park (NP)? Please mark (●) all that apply.
   ○ Did not obtain information prior to visit ➔ Go to question 2
   ○ Chamber of commerce/visitors bureau/state welcome center
   ○ Congaree NP website: www.nps.gov/cong
   ○ Other websites — Which one(s)? _____________________________
   ○ Friends/relatives/word of mouth
   ○ Highway signs
   ○ Inquiry to park via phone, mail or e-mail
   ○ Local businesses (hotels, motels, restaurants, etc.)
   ○ Maps/brochures
   ○ Newspaper/magazine articles
   ○ Other National Park Service sites
   ○ Previous visits
   ○ School class/program
   ○ Social media (such as Facebook, Twitter, etc.)
   ○ Television/radio programs/videos
   ○ Travel guides/tour books (such as AAA, etc.)
   ○ Other (Please specify) _____________________________

2. Prior to your visit, were you and your personal group aware of programs (ranger-led walks, canoe trips, presentations, school group tours, etc.) offered in Congaree NP?
   ○ Yes  ○ No
3. a) In 2003, Congaree Swamp National Monument became Congaree NP. Did this name change have any effect on your decision to visit?
   O Yes  O No  O Not sure
   b) If YES, what effect did it have? Please be specific.

4. a) Prior to your visit, were you aware of what congressionally designated wilderness is?
   O Yes  O No  O Not sure
   b) If NO, did you and your personal group learn about congressionally designated wilderness during your visit?
   O Yes  O No

5. The National Park Service has a policy to control or remove non-native plants and animals from within park boundaries. Non-native species occupy an area that is not part of their natural, historic range, and often originated from another continent or region. Many of these species are invasive and damage park resources. Were you aware of this policy prior to your visit to Congaree NP?
   O Yes  O No  O Not sure

6. Would you and your personal group be supportive of the control and removal of non-native species at Congaree NP? Please mark (●) only one for each option.
   a) Non-native plants  O Yes  O No  O Not sure
   b) Non-native animals  O Yes  O No  O Not sure

7. On this trip, what was the primary reason that you and your personal group came to the Congaree NP area (within 1-hour drive of the park)? Please mark (●) only one.
   O Resident of the area (within 1-hour drive of the park)  ➔ Go to Question 8
   O Visit Congaree NP
   O Visit other attractions in the area
   O Visit friends/relatives in the area
   O Traveling through – unplanned visit
   O Business
   O Other (Please specify)

8. On this visit, which sites did you and your personal group visit in the Congaree NP area (within 1-hour drive of the park)? Please mark (●) all that apply.
   O EdVenture
   O Columbia Metropolitan Airport
   O Lake Murray
   O Columbia Museum of Art
   O National Advocacy Center
   O Ft. Jackson Army Training Center
   O Riverbanks Zoo
   O Harbison State Forest
   O Shaw Air Force Base
   O The State Capitol
   O South Carolina State Museum
   O University of South Carolina
   O South Carolina State Parks
   O Other (Please specify)

9. a) On this trip, did you and your personal group stay overnight away from your permanent residence either inside Congaree NP or within the nearby area (within 1-hour drive of the park)?
   O Yes  O No  ➔ Go to Question 10
   b) and c) If YES, how many nights did you and your personal group spend in the following types of accommodations? Please write the number of nights stayed.

   b) Number of nights
      inside park
      outside park within 1-hour drive
   n/a  Lodge, hotel, motel, cabin, rented condo/home, or bed & breakfast ______
   ______  RV/trailer camping
   ______  Tent camping
   ______  Backcountry camping
   n/a  Personal seasonal residence
   n/a  Residence of friends or relatives
   ______  Other accommodations (Please specify below)

   c) Number of nights
      inside park
      outside park within 1-hour drive
   n/a  Lodge, hotel, motel, cabin, rented condo/home, or bed & breakfast ______
   ______  RV/trailer camping
   ______  Tent camping
   ______  Backcountry camping
   n/a  Personal seasonal residence
   n/a  Residence of friends or relatives
   ______  Other accommodations (Please specify below)

10. a) On this visit to Congaree NP, did you and your personal group walk/canoe/kayak any park trails?
    O Yes  O No  ➔ Go on to Question 11
b) If YES, which of the following trails did you and your personal group walk/canoe/kayak on this visit? Please mark (•) all that apply.

- Cedar Creek Wilderness Canoe Trail
- Elevated Boardwalk Trail
- Low Boardwalk Trail
- Oakridge Trail
- Weston Lake Loop Trail
- Bluff Trail
- Kingsnake Trail
- River Trail
- Sims Trail
- Other (Please specify)

11. On this visit, in which activities did you and your personal group participate within Congaree NP? Please mark (•) all that apply.

- Citizen Science program
- Exercising (jogging, rollerblading, etc.)
- Nature study (other than birdwatching)
- Ranger-led programs
- Visiting the visitor center
- Walking dogs
- Walking/hiking
- Visiting the visitor center
- Other (Please specify)

12. On this visit, how many vehicles did you and your personal group use to arrive at the park? Please write “0” if you did not arrive by vehicle.

   Number of vehicles

13. a) How long did you and your personal group stay in the Congaree NP area (within 1-hour drive of the park)? Please list partial hours/days as ¼, ½, ¾.

   Resident of the area ➔ Go to part b of this question on next page

   Number of hours if less than 24 hours
   - OR -
   Number of days if 24 hours or more

14. It is the National Park Service’s responsibility to protect Congaree NP natural, scenic, and cultural resources while at the same time providing for public enjoyment. How important is protection of the following resources/attributes in the park to you and your personal group? Please mark (•) one answer for each resource/attribute.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource/attribute</th>
<th>Not important</th>
<th>Somewhat important</th>
<th>Moderately important</th>
<th>Very important</th>
<th>Extremely important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clean air (visibility)</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean water</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear night sky (star gazing)</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural history (photographs/artifacts/oral histories)</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designated wilderness/backcountry</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational opportunities</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic buildings/archeological sites</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native plants</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native wildlife</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural quiet/sounds of nature</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking availability</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreational opportunities</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenic views</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solitude</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15. a) Prior to this visit were you and your personal group aware that Congaree NP is home to the Old-Growth Bottomland Forest Research and Education Center, one of 21 centers nationwide?

   Yes
   No
b) Did you and your personal group notice any scientists, scientific markers, or scientific equipment at work while you were in the park?

- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No

c) Did you and your personal group – through programs and products – learn about actual results of scientific studies at the park?

- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No

16. a) Please mark (*) all of the information services and facilities that you or your personal group used at Congaree NP during this visit.

b) For only those services and facilities that you or your personal group used, please rate their importance to your visit from 1-5.

c) For only those services and facilities that you or your personal group used, please rate their quality from 1-5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Information services/facilities used?</th>
<th>b) If used, how important?</th>
<th>c) If used, what quality?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mark (*)</td>
<td>1=Not important</td>
<td>1=Very poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2=Somewhat important</td>
<td>2=Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3=Moderately important</td>
<td>3=Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4=Very important</td>
<td>4=Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5=Extremely important</td>
<td>5=Very good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- [ ] Assistance from park staff
- [ ] Assistance from park volunteers
- [ ] Bulletin boards
- [ ] Junior Ranger program
- [ ] Park brochure/map
- [ ] Park interpretive pamphlets
- [ ] Park newspaper Boardwalk Talk
- [ ] Park website (nps.gov/cong) used before or during visit
- [ ] Ranger-led talks/programs/walks
- [ ] Ranger-guided canoe tours
- [ ] Visitor center bookstore sales items (selection, price, etc.)
- [ ] Visitor center videos/films/movies
- [ ] Visitor center exhibits

17. a) Please mark (*) all of the visitor services and facilities that you or your personal group used at Congaree NP during this visit.

b) For only those services and facilities that you or your personal group used, please rate their importance to your visit from 1-5.

c) For only those services and facilities that you or your personal group used, please rate their quality from 1-5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Visitor services/facilities used?</th>
<th>b) If used, how important?</th>
<th>c) If used, what quality?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mark (*)</td>
<td>1=Not important</td>
<td>1=Very poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2=Somewhat important</td>
<td>2=Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3=Moderately important</td>
<td>3=Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4=Very important</td>
<td>4=Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5=Extremely important</td>
<td>5=Very good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- [ ] Access for people with disabilities
- [ ] Backcountry camping
- [ ] Boardwalks
- [ ] Campgrounds
- [ ] Canoe launches
- [ ] Directional signs outside park
- [ ] Park directional signs
- [ ] Parking areas
- [ ] Picnic areas
- [ ] Restrooms
- [ ] Trails

18. On this visit, were you and your personal group part of the following types of organized groups?

a) Commercial guided tour group

- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No

b) School/educational group

- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No

c) Other (scouts, work, church, etc.)

- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No

d) If you were with one of these organized groups, how many people, including yourself, were in this group?

______ Number of people in organized group
19. a) On this visit, what kind of personal group (not guided tour/school/other organized group) were you with?

- O Alone
- O Friends
- O Family
- O Family and friends
- O Other (Please specify) ____________________________

b) On this visit, how many people were in your personal group, including yourself? ______

20. For you and your personal group on this visit, please provide the following. (If you do not know the answer, leave blank).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of visits to Congaree NP (including this visit)</th>
<th>Number of visits to other National Parks (including this visit)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Current age</td>
<td>b) U.S. ZIP code or name of country other than U.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Past 12 months</td>
<td>d) Past 12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Past 12 months</td>
<td>f) Lifetime</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yourself</th>
<th>Member #2</th>
<th>Member #3</th>
<th>Member #4</th>
<th>Member #5</th>
<th>Member #6</th>
<th>Member #7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIP code or name of country other than U.S.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past 12 months</td>
<td>Lifetime</td>
<td>Past 12 months</td>
<td>Lifetime</td>
<td>Lifetime</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

21. For you only, what is the highest level of education you have completed? Please mark (●) one.

- O Some high school
- O High school diploma/GED
- O Bachelor’s degree
- O Graduate degree
- O Some college

22. a) Does anyone in your personal group have mobility or other physical impairments?

- O Yes
- O No ➔ Go on to Question 23

b) If YES, did anyone in your personal group have a physical condition that made it difficult to access or participate in park activities or services?

- O Yes
- O No

23. a) Are you or members of your personal group Hispanic or Latino? Please mark (●) one for each group member.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes, Hispanic or Latino</th>
<th>No, not Hispanic or Latino</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yourself</td>
<td>Member #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) What is your race? What is the race of each member of your personal group? Please mark (●) one or more for you and each group member.

- American Indian or Alaska Native
- Asian
- Black or African American
- Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander
- White

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>American Indian or Alaska Native</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>Black or African American</th>
<th>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</th>
<th>White</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

24. a) On this trip, if you and your personal group had not chosen to visit Congaree NP, what other recreation site would you have visited instead?

__________________________

b) How far is this alternative site from your home? ________ miles

25. a) Which category best represents your annual household income? Please mark (●) only one.

- Less than $24,999
- $25,000-$34,999
- $35,000-$49,999
- $50,000-$74,999
- $75,000-$99,999
- $100,000-$149,999
- $150,000-$199,999
- Do not wish to answer

b) How many people are in your household? ________ Number of people

c) Did your household take any unpaid vacation or take unpaid time off of work to come on this trip?

- O Yes
- O No
26. For you and your personal group, please estimate all expenditures for the items listed below for this visit to Congaree NP and the surrounding area (within 1-hour drive of the park). Please write "0" if no money was spent in a particular category.

   a) Please list your personal group's total expenditures inside Congaree NP.
   b) Please list your personal group's total expenditures in the surrounding area outside the park (within 1-hour drive of the park).

**NOTE:** Surrounding area residents should only include expenditures that were just for this trip to Congaree NP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPENDITURES</th>
<th>a) Inside park</th>
<th>b) Outside park</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lodges, hotels, motels, cabins, B&amp;B, etc.</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>$__________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camping fees and charges</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>$__________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canoe/kayak rental charges</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>$__________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guide fees and charges</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>$__________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restaurants and bars</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>$__________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groceries and takeout food</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>$__________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas and oil (auto, RV, boat, etc.)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>$__________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other transportation expenses (rental cars, taxis, auto repairs, but NOT airfare)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>$__________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission, recreation, entertainment fees</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>$__________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other purchases (souvenirs, film, books, sporting goods, clothing, etc.)</td>
<td>$__________</td>
<td>$__________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donations</td>
<td>$__________</td>
<td>$__________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   c) How many people do the above expenses cover?
   _______ Adults (18 years or over) _________ Children (under 18 years)
   Please write "0" if no children were covered by the expenditures.

27. Overall, how would you rate the quality of the facilities, services, and recreational opportunities provided to you and your personal group at Congaree NP during this visit? Please mark (●) one.

   Very poor   Poor   Average   Good   Very good
   O          O      O     O        O           

28. Would you and your group be likely to visit Congaree NP again in the future?
   O Yes    O No    O Not sure

29. Please indicate how the following elements may have affected you and your personal group's park experience during this visit to Congaree NP. Please mark (●) only one for each element.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affect your park experience?</th>
<th>Detracted from</th>
<th>No effect</th>
<th>Added to</th>
<th>Did not experience</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airplane noise</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automobile noise</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunshots from neighboring lands</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise from park staff activities (such as chainsaws, leaf blowers, generators, etc.)</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train noise</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other visitors' activities</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small number of visitors on trails</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large number of visitors on trails</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small number of visitors canoeing/kayaking</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large number of visitors canoeing/kayaking</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact of wild pigs</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Please specify)</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

30. If you were to visit Congaree NP in the future, which types of organized activities and programs would you and your personal group like to have available? Please mark (●) all that apply.
   O Not interested in organized activities/programs ➔ Go on to Question 31
   O Art/photography
   O History tours
   O Bird walks
   O Night walk/night sky program
   O Camping (family)
   O Outdoor recreation workshop
   O Camping (educational)
   O Owl prowls
   O Canoeing/kayaking
   O Ranger-led programs
   O Children's programs
   O Special events/festivals
   O Family activities
   O Volunteer opportunities (ways to help the park)
   O Other (Please specify) ____________________________
31. If you were to visit Congaree NP in the future, which subjects would you and your personal group like to learn about? Please mark (•) all that apply.

- Not interested in learning about these subjects
- Challenges facing park
- Natural resource management
- Old growth floodplain forest
- Plants/animals
- Threatened/endangered species
- Volunteer opportunities (ways to help the park)
- International Biosphere Reserve
- Wilderness
- Recreational opportunities (canoeing/kayaking, fishing, camping, etc.)
- Other (Please specify)

32. a) What did you and your personal group like most about your visit to Congaree NP?

b) What did you and your personal group like least about your visit to Congaree NP?

33. Congaree NP was established because of its significance to the nation. In your opinion, what is the national significance of this park?

34. If you were a manager planning for the future of Congaree NP, what would you and your personal group propose?

35. Is there anything else you and your personal group would like to tell us about your visit to Congaree NP?

Thank you for your help! Please seal the questionnaire in the postage paid envelope provided and drop it in any U.S. mailbox.
Appendix 2: Additional Analysis

The Visitor Services Project (VSP) offers the opportunity to learn from VSP visitor study data through additional analysis. Two-way and three-way cross tabulations can be made with any questions.

Below are some examples of the types of cross tabulations that can be requested. To make a request, please use the contact information below, and include your name, address and phone number in the request.

1. What proportion of family groups with children attends interpretive programs?
2. Is there a correlation between visitors’ ages and their preferred sources of information about the park?
3. Are highly satisfied visitors more likely to return for a future visit?
4. How many international visitors participate in hiking?
5. What ages of visitors would use the park website as a source of information on a future visit?
6. Is there a correlation between visitor groups’ rating of the overall quality of their park experience and their ratings of individual services and facilities?
7. Do larger visitor groups (e.g., four or more) participate in different activities than smaller groups?
8. Do frequent visitors rate the overall quality of their park experiences differently than less frequent visitors?

The VSP database website (http://vsp.uidaho.edu) allows data searches for comparisons of data from one or more parks.

For more information please contact:

Visitor Services Project, PSU
College of Natural Resources
P.O. Box 441139
University of Idaho
Moscow, ID 83843-1139

Phone: 208-885-2585
Fax: 208-885-4261
Email: lenale@uidaho.edu
Website: http://www.psu.uidaho.edu
Appendix 3: Decision Rules for Checking Nonresponse Bias

There are several methods for checking non-response bias. However, the most common way is to use some demographic indicators to compare respondents and nonrespondents (Dey 1997; Salant and Dillman 1994; Dillman and Carley-Baxter 2000; Dillman, 2007; Stoop 2004). In this study, group type, group size, age of the group member (at least 16 years old) completing the survey, whether the park was the primary reason for being in the area, and respondent’s place of residence were five variables that were used to check for nonresponse bias.

Two independent-sample T-tests were used to test the differences between respondents and nonrespondents. The p-values represent the significance levels of these tests. If the p-value is greater than 0.05, the two groups are judged to be insignificantly different.

Chi-square tests were used to detect the differences in group types, whether the park was the primary reason for being in the area, and respondent’s place of residence. The hypotheses were that there would be no significant difference between respondents and nonrespondents in terms of who they travelled with, why they were in the area, or where they came from. If the p-value is greater than 0.05, the differences are judged to be insignificant.

The hypotheses for checking non-response bias are: Respondents and nonrespondents are not significantly different in terms of:

1. Average age
2. Number of people they were travelling with in a personal group
3. Type of group which they were travelling with
4. How park fit into travel plans
5. Proximity from home to the park

As shown in Tables 3-6, respondents and nonrespondents were not significantly different in terms of group size and primary reason for travelling to the area. The p-values for respondent/nonrespondent average age, group type, and proximity from home to the park are less than 0.05, indicating significant differences between respondents and nonrespondents. The results indicated younger respondents (under 40 years old) may be underrepresented. Visitors who lived within 51 to 100 miles of the park, and visitors who travelled in friends groups may also be underrepresented in the results.
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